

## [Unethical climate science debunked](#) [1]

Written by [Dr Fred Hansen](#) [2] | Tuesday 18 March 2008



The 2008 International Conference on Climate Change, which took place from March 2-4 in New York City, changed the momentum of man-made climate change scepticism. The groundbreaking event at Times Square, with 100 scientists and more than 500 attendees, exposed what were described as "absolute horror stories" with biased reporting, even in scientific journals. Science journalists were accused of "outrageous and unethical behaviour" with regard to the censoring or suppressing critical studies on climate research.

*Among the many speakers in New York, three leading scientists presented solid, dramatic and verified new material completely refuting the myth that climate change was caused by mankind's production of carbon dioxide... The number of scientists attending the conference apparently well exceeded the number involved in the IPCC process... I felt touched by 100 scientists with the courage to put their convictions in writing to the United Nations' Bali climate summit. The scientists from 17 nations include internationally eminent climatologists ? and authors of the scientific report prepared for the United Nations' Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) including some IPCC Lead Authors.*

A new 'Manhattan Declaration on Climate Change' was initiated stating "that carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) is not a pollutant but rather a necessity for all life." Senator Inhofe's register, put together by the USA Senate's Environment and Public Works Committee, already contains more than 500 scientists who previously endorsed the IPCC views but have meanwhile changed their mind. The sceptics have reached a consensus on four key points:

*1) The Earth is currently well within natural climate variability. 2) Almost all climate fear is generated by unproven computer model predictions. 3) An abundance of peer-reviewed studies continue to debunk rising CO<sub>2</sub> fears and, 4) "Consensus" has been manufactured for political, not scientific purposes.*

Contrary to expectations the media coverage was excellent ? that?s the new momentum.

---

**Source URL:** <http://www.adamsmith.org/blog/environment/unethical-climate-science-debunked>

**Links:**

[1] <http://www.adamsmith.org/blog/environment/unethical-climate-science-debunked>

[2] <http://www.adamsmith.org/taxonomy/term/5857>

[3] [http://disqus.com/?ref\\_noscript](http://disqus.com/?ref_noscript)

[4] <http://disqus.com>