

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The ASI Ratings 1987-88	4
3. The Findings	7
4. The Ratings	13
5. Appendix 1: Notations used in Index	42
6. Appendix 2: Overall distribution of votes by Party	43
7. Appendix 3: ASI Ratings on abortion	46

**THE ASI RATINGS  
THE ASI PARLIAMENTARY INDEX  
SESSION 1987-1988**

Compiled by Michael Simmonds

First published in the UK in 1988 by  
ASI (Research) Limited  
(c) Adam Smith Institute 1988

All rights reserved. Apart from fair dealing for the purposes of private study, research, criticism, or review, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted without the prior permission of the publishers, ASI (Research) Limited, 20 Box Hill, London SW19 3DZ (01-272 4945).

The views expressed in this publication are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the publisher or copyright owner. They are presented as a contribution to public debate.

ISBN: 1-870109-52-2

Printed in Great Britain by Facsimile Limited, London, SW1

# CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The ASI Ratings 1987-88	4
3. The Findings	7
4. The Ratings	13
5. Appendix 1: Sources used in 1988	42
6. Appendix 2: Overall distribution of votes by Party	43
7. Appendix 3: ASI Ratings by Party	46

## THE ASI RATINGS THE ASI PARLIAMENTARY INDEX SESSION 1987-1988

Compiled by Michael Simmonds

First published in the UK in 1988 by  
ASI Research Limited  
(c) Adam Smith Institute 1988

All rights reserved. Apart from those relating to the purchase of  
private copies, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or  
transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, recording, or  
otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher, ASI  
Research Limited, 20 Ave Road, London, W1P 0PL.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the author  
and do not necessarily reflect those of the publisher or  
any other person. They are intended to provide a basis for  
debate.

ISBN 0-9501981-5-2

Printed in Great Britain by Adam Smith Institute, London, W1P 0PL

## INTRODUCTION

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The ASI Ratings 1987-88	4
3. The Findings	7
4. The Ratings	15
5. Appendix 1: Motions used in Index	42
6. Appendix 2: Overall distributionn of votes by Party	43
7. Appendix 3: ASI Ratings (Not including votes on abortion)	46

First published in the UK in 1989 by  
ASI (Research) Limited  
(c) Adam Smith Institute 1989

All rights reserved. Apart from fair dealing for the purposes of private study, research, criticism, or review, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted without the prior permission of the publishers, ASI (Research) Limited, PO Box 316, London SW1P 3DJ (01-222 4995).

The views expressed in this publication are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the publisher or copyright owner. They are presented as a contribution to public debate.

ISBN: 1-870109-52-X

Printed in Great Britain by Imediacopy Limited, London, SW1

The first ASI Index, published in March 1982, was criticised for two main reasons.

Firstly, many Conservative Members of Parliament objected to the fact that the index made no allowance for moral convictions. A strict interpretation of individual choice meant that MPs who voted against more liberal laws, on issues such as abortion, or pornography, scored lower marks than might have been expected. The fact that the ASI Index coded the votes simply for or against individual choice, regardless of any considerations as to whether choice was a good or bad thing in any particular case, scandalised some MPs. One Conservative Member denounced the reliance on 'freezing liberty with killing babies.'



## INTRODUCTION

This is the third index of MPs' voting records published by the Adam Smith Institute. The first two, both published in 1982, recorded how Members of Parliament voted on issues of choice during the Parliamentary sessions 1979-1982. Although the presence of strong party 'whipping' in the House of Commons means that MPs get few opportunities to vote freely, the ASI indices introduced to Britain a valuable new tool with which to analyse the political process. For the first time an objective classification of MPs became available.

The ASI indices, which were based on voting in fifty divisions where whipping was absent or ineffective over the three parliamentary sessions, were not intended to record how loyal members were to party whips but to provide a new way of categorising legislators. Instead of relying on the vague separation of 'left' and 'right' - terms which derive from the horseshoe-shaped chamber of the French Revolutionary National Assembly - the indices ranked MPs according to their voting record on issues where choice for the individual was at stake. MPs were given one point for voting in favour of allowing individuals to make decisions and had a point deducted for voting in favour of the state making such determinations. For example, whether one is for or against the compulsory wearing of seat belts in cars, there is a clear distinction between voting to leave the decision in the hands of the individual, and taking it on his behalf - albeit ostensibly in his interest.

The first two ASI Indices showed that the issue of individual choice versus state provision did provide a real division both inside the parties and between them. All parties gave normal Gaussian distributions (the bell shaped-curve) when their members' voting records were plotted. There was minimal overlap between Conservative and Labour Members of Parliament, with Labour bunching much lower below the 50 mark than the Conservatives did above.

### Criticisms of the ASI Indices

The first ASI Index, published in March 1982, was criticised for two main reasons.

Firstly, many Conservative Members of Parliament objected to the fact that the index made no allowance for moral convictions. A strict interpretation of individual choice meant that MPs who voted against more liberal laws, on issues such as abortion, or pornography, scored lower marks than might have been expected. The fact that the ASI Index counted the votes simply for or against individual choice, regardless of any consideration as to whether choice was a good or bad thing in any particular case, scandalised some MPs. One Conservative Member denounced the ratings for "confusing liberty with killing babies."



The index has to take an objective view and avoid the need for value judgments by the compilers, and so it was necessary for choice to be measured without regard for its moral content or for how appropriate choice is on any particular issue. Although clearly one could take the view that choice for the individual is superior to decision making on an individuals's behalf by the state.

The second ASI Index took note of this criticism and divided the votes into those on economic and non-economic issues. This enabled political researchers to see how an MP scores for or against choice on economic issues, and for or against choice on the mostly social and moral non-economic issues.

The second important criticism levelled at the the first index was its treatment of the Labour Party. Whereas, in the first two indices, the Conservative "wets" and "dries" fell into recognisable positions on the Index, Labour politicians did not. Denis Healey, for example, had a lower ASI Rating than Tony Benn.

Disputes within the Labour Party do not take place on the issue of choice for the individual. As the second index noted: "Although the Labour Party scores exhibit a good Gaussian distribution on the issue, it is not one on which battles are fought or alliances made or broken." Labour 'left-wingers' scored both high and low, as did their moderate opponents within the party. The terms "militant" and "moderate" within the Labour Party derive from issues other than those which centre on choice for the individual.

Although individual choice is not an issue which divides factions within the Labour Party, many Labour MPs did not wish to appear to be anti-individual or anti-liberty. However, the Labour Party is a socialist party - its constitution commits it to state ownership and control - and socialists do believe that there are areas where individual choice is inappropriate and that collective provision is more conducive to the general good of society. The ASI Indices measure a propensity to favour or oppose individual choice neutrally, and so it is not surprising that socialist MPs score lower than non-Socialists.

In preparing the third ASI Index careful consideration was given to using a criteria other than choice for the Labour Party so as to better reflect divisions within it. Issues such as national security, attitudes towards the law and other areas of internal division were suggested. However, it was concluded that the issue of individual choice, which the two previous indices had measured, still constituted a fundamental divide in British politics and that this division could not be properly analysed unless all Members of Parliament were included regardless of party.

#### Uses of the ASI Index

The first ASI Index introduced to Britain a tool long available to political analysts in the United States. In the USA political scientists make considerable use of indices which rate the voting



patterns of legislators. For example, the ADA Ratings, compiled by Americans for Democratic Action, are taken as a measure of how 'liberal' is a congressman or senator and are based upon his/her voting record on key issues. Votes for high government spending (except on defence) and for government regulation merit a high ADA rating. However, to rate highly on the ACU Ratings, issued by the American Conservative Union, congressmen and senators have to vote against government spending and regulatory action.

There are many other indices published in the USA by organisations such as the Committee for the Survival of a Free Congress (a 'moral majority' group), the National Education Association (a teaching union), the National Farmers Union and the American Federation of Labour. Along with various civil rights and consumer organisations, these bodies rate legislators and declare them to be 'pro' or 'anti' the position taken by the organisation itself.

The ASI Indices provide a means of placing an MP within a context. They provide a basis for classification against which other facts and figures can be correlated. They enable one region to be compared with national average scores, and enable the scientific observer to delineate the party mainstreams and identify those who fall outside of expected positions. They enable trends within parties to be analysed.

The votes which have been chosen for inclusion are listed in Appendix 1. In general, votes which would lead to higher taxation and public expenditure, or to curtailment of freedom which is not to be tolerated, or to the implementation of new government regulation count against individual choice. As in the previous two indices a vote in favour of tightening the Abortion Laws has been counted as a vote against individual choice. Since the ASI found this decision distasteful Appendix 2 includes a version of the index which does not include votes on abortion.

The voting record of each Member of Parliament has been carefully examined. Each time an MP voted in one of the 19 divisions selected, a plus or minus sign indicating to whether he/she voted in favour or against choice was awarded. Once each MP's record had been examined the number of pluses and minuses was added up to give three ratings - economic, non-economic and the overall ASI Rating. The ASI Rating takes into account all of the 19 votes in which an MP took part, whereas the non-economic rating reflects only those votes in which an MP voted on economic issues. The ASI Rating is calculated as follows: (Economic Rating + Non-economic Rating) / 2. The ASI Rating is then calculated as follows: (ASI Rating + Non-economic Rating) / 2. The ASI Rating is then calculated as follows: (ASI Rating + Non-economic Rating) / 2.

The voting record of each Member of Parliament has been carefully examined. Each time an MP voted in one of the 19 divisions selected, a plus or minus sign indicating to whether he/she voted in favour or against choice was awarded. Once each MP's record had been examined the number of pluses and minuses was added up to give three ratings - economic, non-economic and the overall ASI Rating. The ASI Rating takes into account all of the 19 votes in which an MP took part, whereas the non-economic rating reflects only those votes in which an MP voted on economic issues. The ASI Rating is calculated as follows: (Economic Rating + Non-economic Rating) / 2. The ASI Rating is then calculated as follows: (ASI Rating + Non-economic Rating) / 2. The ASI Rating is then calculated as follows: (ASI Rating + Non-economic Rating) / 2.

Thus the full score for Sir Anthony Grant is (77, 50) 66 - which means that Sir Anthony's ASI Rating of 66 is made up of a 77 on economic matters and a 50 on non-economic issues. Sir Anthony's score of (77, 50) 66 means that Sir Anthony's score of 66 is made up



## THE ASI RATINGS 1987-88

Since the publication of the last Adam Smith Institute Index, Mrs Margaret Thatcher's Conservative Party has twice been re-elected to government. The Alliance between the Liberal Party and the Social Democratic Party peaked and gradually disintegrated. The Labour Party, particularly since its 1987 defeat, has been re-examining its fundamental beliefs. In short, British politics has been dominated by the Conservative Party and in particular by that phenomena known as 'Thatcherism'.

These new ASI Ratings examine the voting records of Members of Parliament from the beginning of Mrs Thatcher's third term as Prime Minister to the end of the Parliamentary session in November 1988. They are based upon nineteen votes where whipping was either absent or ineffective and use the same criteria as before - that of choice for the individual versus coercion by the state.

### Calculating the ASI Ratings

The Ratings have been compiled using the same method adopted in the last ASI Index. Members of Parliament have been rated according to their propensity to favour choice in both economic and non-economic fields. Of the 19 divisions used in this first index, measuring the first session of the present Parliament, 11 were on the broad issue of whether the individual or society should make economic determinations, while 8 were on issues of choice on social and moral questions.

The votes which have been chosen for inclusion are listed in Appendix I. In general, votes which would lead to higher taxation and public expenditure, or to curtailment of freedoms which at present exist, or to the implementation of new government regulation count against individual choice. As in the previous two indices a vote in favour of tightening the Abortion laws has been counted as a vote against individual choice. Since many MPs found this decision distasteful Appendix 3 includes a version of the ratings which does not include votes on abortion.

The voting record of each Member of Parliament has been carefully examined. Each time an MP voted in one of the 19 divisions selected, a plus or minus mark (according to whether he/she voted in favour or against choice) was awarded. Once each MP's record had been examined the number of pluses and minuses was added up to give three ratings - economic, non-economic and the overall ASI Rating. The ASI Rating takes into account all of the 19 votes in which an MP took part, whereas the non-economic score reflects how an MP voted in the 8 non-economic divisions selected and the economic rating takes into account the voting record on the 11 economic votes. To make comprehension of the Ratings easier they have been expressed as percentages.

Thus the full score for Sir Anthony Grant is (77, 50) 66 - which means that Sir Anthony's ASI Rating of 66 is made up of a 77 on economic matters and a 50 on non-economic issues. Bernie Grant's score of (9, 56) 29 means that Mr Grant's score of 29 is made up



of a 9 on economic matters and a 56 on non-economic issues.

### Reading the Ratings

Since the votes selected were largely 'free' votes - ie. those where whipping did not take place - not all MPs will have taken part in the divisions. For this reason the ASI Rating should be read in conjunction with the Reliability Quotient (RQ). The Reliability Quotient indicates the proportion of the 19 possible divisions in which the Member has actually participated. Where the RQ falls below .20 the ASI Rating cannot be taken as an accurate guide. Those who do not participate in many free votes, including members of the government with heavy workloads, will tend to cluster nearer to the 50% mark than their views might merit. This is because by not voting, they lose opportunities to score plus and minus votes.

Despite all attempts to reduce distortion, the Index is still subject to inaccuracy problems. The following are all potential causes of distortion to the accuracy and validity of any MP's Rating:

- (i) Unusually low number of votes
- (ii) Prolonged absence or illness
- (iii) Cabinet, ministerial or Whip's office status
- (iv) Regular pairing

### Difficulties and Decisions

As has already been intimated the major difficulty in applying US-style ratings to the House of Commons occurs because of the very rigid party system in Britain. If the ASI Ratings used every vote in which a conflict between individual choice and state provision occurred, regardless of party whipping, the index would show a big difference between parties but little difference within parties. Such a method would be a measure only of loyalty to party whips.

For this reason, it was decided to use only non-whipped votes. At the time of the last ASI index these were estimated to account for roughly 10-12% of the total number of votes. Although reliable information on whipping is difficult to obtain, and some parties whip more often than others, it is estimated that during the session of Parliament 1987-88 less than 6% of votes were clearly unwhipped.

There does, therefore, seem to be evidence that the Party whip is being used more often. (Indeed during the session under question, the Government took the almost unprecedented action of imposing a three line whip against a Private Members Bill introduced by a member of the government party). There are many possible explanations for this, including the fact that the 1987-88 session was the first of a new Parliament and that the government was piloting through a great deal of new and controversial legislation. Since it is hoped to produce the ASI Ratings throughout the life of this Parliament, it will be interesting to see whether the number of unwhipped votes rises.



## THE FINDINGS

The decision to use unwhipped divisions meant that several important votes, in which there was a revolt against the party whip, had to be left out. It was decided, however, to include 3 votes where whipping had clearly broken down.

House of Commons procedure brought other problems to the compilers of the ASI indices. The USA has no equivalent of the 'pairing' system operated in Britain, whereby MPs make arrangements with MPs of other parties to abstain from voting. If an MP's name does not appear in the voting lists, it is not possible to tell whether this is because of 'pairing', or deliberate abstention, or a simple absence. It was decided that no premium was to be given for abstention. If a member does not vote neither plus or minus votes are recorded. Although the choice of unwhipped votes largely overcame the problem of abstention, the index will clearly not indicate whether an MP's absence from one of the 3 whipped votes that have been included was deliberate abstention or not.

The mathematics of calculating the ratings posed a question. It was decided to follow the same method as the previous two indices. All votes on the floor of the House of Commons were considered and, as already stated, those where whipping was apparent and effective were discarded. Committee votes, where all MPs do not have an equal opportunity to vote, were not considered.

Finally, 7 Members were not included in the ASI Ratings. The Speaker, Bernard Weatherill and his three deputies Sir Paul Dean, Harold Walker and Mrs Betty Boothroyd by convention do not vote and so have been excluded from the list. Gerry Adams, the Member of Parliament for Belfast West, has not taken his seat in the House of Commons and so has not been included. Since Mr Dudley Fishburn, the Member of Parliament for Kensington, won his seat at a by-election late in the session he was only able to take part in three divisions and so it was decided not to include his score. In the three divisions in which he took part, Mr Fishburn voted in favour of individual choice and so it will be interesting to see how he scores in the next session. As in previous indices the Prime Minister has been omitted.

Campbell-Johnson, Dale	11
Clerke, Dennis	11
Conliffe, Lawrence	11
Crookall, Bruce	11
Alger, David	14
Worthington, Tony	14
Hinchliffe, David	15
Shinner, Dennis	15
Campbell, Ronald	16
James Robertson, John	16
Lauch, James	16
O'Brien, William	16
Robertson, George	16

The average for Parliament as a whole is slightly below centre (House of Commons average ASI Rating 15.5)

## THE FINDINGS

### (a) The overall ASI Ratings

Once again there is very little overlap in the overall ASI Ratings between Labour and Conservative Members of Parliament. Only one Labour Member scored 50 or more whilst only 43 Conservatives scored under 50. Mrs Elizabeth Peacock (32) and Sir Barney Hayhoe (34) registered the lowest scores on the Conservative side. Labour MPs clustered around the 30% mark (Labour average ASI Rating 29%) as they did in the previous indices whilst Tory MPs clustered around the mid 50% area (Conservative average ASI Rating 56%).

Of the other political parties only the SDP scored over the 50% mark (SDP average ASI Rating 52%). The Democrats, with the exception of Sir Russell Johnston, all score below 50% (Democrat average ASI Rating 31%). The Ulster Unionist Party and the Democratic Ulster Unionist Party both averaged 35% whilst the SDLP averaged 31%. The Nationalist parties of Wales and Scotland both averaged in the mid 20% area with the SNP appearing to take a slightly more anti-individualist stance (PlC average ASI Rating 28% and SNP average ASI Rating 23%).

The top ASI Ratings were all held by Conservatives:

Davies, Quentin	84
Forth, Eric	84
Alexander, Richard	82
Gorman, Mrs Teresa	82
Gill, Christopher	79
Riddick, Graham	79
Evans, David	76
Gow, Ian	76
Nelson, Anthony	76
Redwood, John	76

The bottom ASI Ratings were, with the exception of Democrat MP David Alton, scored by Labour Members of Parliament:

McAvoy, Thomas	11
Campbell-Savours, Dale	11
Clarke, Thomas	11
Cunliffe, Lawrence	11
Grocott, Bruce	11
Alton, David	13
Worthington, Tony	13
Hinchliffe, David	16
Skinner, Dennis	16
Campbell, Ronald	16
Home Robertson, John	16
Lamond, James	16
O'Brien, William	16
Robertson, George	16

The average for Parliament as a whole is slightly below centre (House of Commons average ASI Rating 45%)



(b) Economic issues

There is very great polarisation between the major parties on economic issues. Labour's average ASI economic rating is 13 and over 20 of its members scored 0. The Conservative top score, on the other hand, was achieved by John Redwood MP who scored 100. The average economic score of Tory MPs was 68.

Top economic scores:

Redwood, John	100
Bennett, Nicholas	95
Boswell, Timothy	95
Janman, Timothy	95
Jones, Robert	95
Mans, Keith	95
Alexander, Richard	91
Blackburn, John	91
Brown, Michael	91
Coombs, Anthony	91
Davis, David	91
Gill, Christopher	91
Gow, Ian	91
Lloyd, Sir Ian	91
Shaw, David	91
Watts, John	91

Bottom economic scores:

McAvoy, Thomas	0
Hinchliffe, David	0
Skinner, Dennis	0
Boyes, Roland	0
Haynes, Frank	0
Mahon, Ms Alice	0
Michie, William	0
Pike, Peter	0
Barnes, Harry	0
Clay, Robert	0
Cryer, Robert	0
Fyfe, Mrs Maria	0
Garrett, John	0
Heffer, Eric	0
McAllion, John	0
Patchett, Terry	0
Ross, Ernest	0
Sheldon, Robert	0
Winnick, David	0
Spearing, Nigel	0
Williams, Alan W	0
Primarolo, Ms Dawn	0

The average economic scores of the other parties are as follows:

Democrat	23	SDLP	27
DUP	39	SNP	18
OUP	35	UPUP	45
PLC	12		

(c) Non-economic issues

The question of choice on non-economic issues does not divide the House of Commons along straight party lines. The inclusion of three votes on abortion during the 1987-88 Parliament has certainly meant that a number of Conservative MPs have scored much lower on non-economic matters than they might have expected. (Appendix 3 lists the ASI Ratings with the abortion votes removed). The Labour Party has a higher average non-economic score than do the Conservatives (Labour average ASI non-economic rating 53, Conservative average ASI non-economic rating 40).

Top non-economic scores:

Davies, Quentin	(Con)	81
Forth, Eric	(Con)	81
Riddick, Graham	(Con)	81
Davis, Terry	(Lab)	75
Evans, John	(Lab)	75
Ewing, Harry	(Lab)	75
Foulkes, George	(Lab)	75
George, Bruce	(Lab)	75
Gorman, Mrs Teresa	(Con)	75
Johnston, Sir Russell	(Dem)	75
Leadbitter, Edward	(Lab)	75
Soley, Clive	(Lab)	75
Stott, Roger	(Lab)	75
Wardell, Gareth	(Lab)	75
Williams, Alan J	(Lab)	75

Bottom non-economic scores

Field, Frank	(Lab)	6
Braine, Sir Bernard	(Con)	13
Pendry, Tom	(Lab)	13
Alison, Michael	(Con)	19
Alton, David	(Dem)	19
Beith, Alan	(Dem)	19
Butler, Christopher	(Con)	19
Campbell-Savours, Dale	(Lab)	19
Clarke, Thomas	(Lab)	19
Cunliffe, Lawrence	(Lab)	19
Devlin, Timothy	(Con)	19
Grocott, Bruce	(Lab)	19
Hardy, Peter	(Lab)	19
Hargreaves, Kenneth	(Con)	19
Kennedy, Charles	(Dem)	19
Knapman, Roger	(Con)	19
Marlow, Antony	(Con)	19
Millan, Bruce	(Lab)	19
Worthington, Tony	(Lab)	19

The average non-economic scores of the other parties are:

Democrat	43	SDLP	35
DUP	29	SNP	38
OUP	33	UPUP	31
PLC	50		



The non-economic scores listed above represent an interesting non-party based divide. MPs clearly do differentiate between choice on economic issues and choice on non-economic issues. However, Appendix 3 lists the ASI Ratings with votes on abortion excluded, and an examination of these results show that a more recognisable divide does occur.

Whilst mostly Tories head the list, all the bottom positions, except for one, are occupied by Labour MPs.

Top non-economic scores (not including votes on abortion):

Biggs-Davison, Sir John	(Con)	80
Forth, Eric	(Con)	80
Alexander, Richard	(Con)	70
Bennett, Nicholas	(Con)	70
Blackburn, John	(Con)	70
Davies, Quentin	(Con)	70
Farr, Sir John	(Con)	70
Gow, Ian	(Con)	70
Jopling, Michael	(Con)	70
Lawrence, Ivan	(Con)	70
Molyneaux, James	(OUP)	70
Redwood, John	(Con)	70
Riddick, Graham	(Con)	70
Shaw, Sir Michael	(Con)	70
Taylor, Ian	(Con)	70
Wray, James	(Lab)	70

Bottom non-economic scores (not including votes on abortion):

Banks, Tony	(Lab)	10
Field, Frank	(Lab)	10
Boyes, Roland	(Lab)	20
Braine, Sir Bernard	(Lab)	20
Brown, Nicholas	(Lab)	20
Caborn, Richard	(Lab)	20
Cohen, Harry	(Lab)	20
Dalyell, Tam	(Lab)	20
Darling, Alastair	(Lab)	20
Dobson, Frank	(Lab)	20
Ewing, Mrs Margaret	(Lab)	20
Foster, Derek	(Lab)	20
Haynes, Frank	(Lab)	20
Henderson, Douglas	(Lab)	20
Lloyd, Anthony	(Lab)	20
Madden, Max	(Lab)	20
Mahon, Ms Alice	(Lab)	20
Marek, Dr John	(Lab)	20
Michie, William	(Lab)	20
Orme, Stanley	(Lab)	20
Pendry, Tom	(Lab)	20
Pike, Peter	(Lab)	20
Short, Ms Clare	(Lab)	20
Skinner, Dennis	(Lab)	20
Smith, Christopher	(Lab)	20
Vaz, Keith	(Lab)	20
Wall, Patrick	(Lab)	20



The average non-economic scores (not including votes on abortion) for all the parties are as follows:

Conservative	49	PlC	37
Democrat	41	SDP	50
DUP	40	SDLP	50
Labour	39	SNP	37
OUP	47	UPUP	30

It should be stressed that as with the main ASI Ratings these figures should be read in conjunction with the revised Reliability Quotient published in Appendix 3.

### Analysis by Party

The paragraphs below should be read in conjunction with charts in appendix 2 which show clearly the spread of opinion within the and between the parties.

#### Conservative Party

Since 1982 the terms 'wet' and 'dry' have ceased to be widely used. There is a general feeling that the Conservative Party has been 'Thatcherized' - that its MPs, with a few notable exceptions, tend to favour the generally free market approach adopted by the present government. The ASI Ratings do not challenge this assumption.

In the last ASI Index the average Conservative score was 59. The ratings indicated that a Conservative MP was more likely to favour individual choice over economic matters (average score 63) than he/she was over non-economic matters (average score 54). The new Ratings reinforce these findings. The average Conservative MP, during the session 1987-88, scored 68 on economic issues, 40 on non-economic and registered an overall ASI rating of 56.

In the last index we concluded that the Conservative Party exhibited a "consistency of economic scores much more than do the other parties". Although Conservative scores range between 100 and the low 30s, there does seem to have been a further shift towards consensus on economic questions since 1982. Only 21 Tory MPs scored below 50 - Mrs Elizabeth Peacock was lowest registering 32 (the same score as veteran Labour politician Peter Shore, who was not the highest Labour scorer) - most Tories clustered in the region of 60. John Redwood voted in every every division on economic issues and scored 100 since he consistently voted in favour of individual choice. 15 Conservative MPs registered scores in the 90s.

The Labour Party in Parliament does seem to be more in favour of state provision and more opposed to individual choice over economic matters than in the past. The Labour average for votes on economic issues has plummeted from 26 in 1982 to 13 in 1987-88. This can in part be explained by the retirement of 'right-wingers' in the Party such as James Callaghan, Jack Donaghy and



The bottom economic scores recorded by Conservatives were:

Peacock, Mrs Elizabeth	32
Hicks, Robert	36
Knox, David	36
Morrison, Charles	36
Hayhoe, Sir Barney	36
Gilmour, Sir Ian	41
Carttiss, Michael	41
Cormack, Patrick	41
Lester, James	41
Maxwell-Hyslop, Robin	41
Heseltine, Michael	41

As has already been noted the Conservative Party shows much less unanimity on non-economic issues. Although the average Tory MP scores below 50 on issues of non-economic choice (even if the three votes on abortion are excluded), there is a wide disparity. The highest Conservative score is 81 and the lowest 13. The lowest Conservative scorers on issues of non-economic choice were:

Braine, Sir Bernard	13
Butler, Christopher	19
Devlin, Timothy	19
Alison, Michael	19
Hargreaves, Kenneth	19
Knapman, Roger	19
Marlow, Antony	19

There are two clearly identifiable groups within the Conservative Party. At one end there are those who apply the same libertarian principles to matters of economic and non-economic choice and who therefore score highly on both issues. At the bottom end of the index are those Conservative MPs who do not seem to favour a high degree of choice for individuals in either field and who register two fairly low scores. Other MPs score highly on one measure and less well on the other indicator.

It is difficult to draw conclusions from this index about the nature of the new intake of members since 1982. MPs elected in the elections of 1983 and 1987 come at the top and bottom of Conservative MPs. However, it is interesting to see that 6 of the top 10 scores on the index were registered by MPs elected in 1987.

## Labour Party

Although Labour's average score in the Ratings is almost identical to that shown in the last ASI Index, there has been a noticeable change in Labour scores since 1982.

The Labour Party in Parliament does seem to be more in favour of state provision and more opposed to individual choice over economic matters than in the past. The Labour average for votes on economic issues has plummeted from 26 in 1982 to 13 in 1987-88. This can in part be explained by the retirement of 'right-wingers' in the Party such as James Callaghan, Jack Dunnett and



John Ryman all of whom recorded scores in the 40s in the previous indices and the election of younger radical MPs. Whereas in the last index the lowest economic score was 7, in the 1987-88 ratings 22 Labour MPs scored 0 and a further 39 scored fewer than 7. Of the 22 who scored 0, 15 were elected since 1982. In all, therefore, over one quarter of the present Parliamentary Labour Party (PLP) scored less than the lowest previous economic score.

#### Top Labour Economic scores:

Healey, Dennis	36
Bray, Dr Jeremy	32
Davies, Denzil	32
Douglas, Richard	32
Dunwoody, Mrs Gwyneth	32
Howell, Dennis	32
Shore, Peter	32

On the other hand, Labour MPs have scored higher on non-economic matters than in 1982. The average Labour MP scores 53 on non-economic matters compared with 35 in 1982. The top Labour score was 75 and the lowest was recorded by Frank Field who scored 6.

#### Top Labour scores on non-economic issues:

George, Bruce	75
Wardell, Gareth	75
Leadbitter, Edward	75
Foulkes, George	75
Davis, Terry	75
Evans, John	75
Stott, Roger	75
Williams, Alan J	75
Ewing, Harry	75
Soley, Clive	75

#### Bottom Labour scores on non-economic issues:

Field, Frank	6
Pendry, Tom	13
Millan, Bruce	19
Hardy, Peter	19
Worthington, Tony	19
Campbell-Savours, Dale	19
Clarke, Thomas	19
Cunliffe, Lawrence	19
Grocott, Bruce	19

Even if votes on abortion are excluded from the non-economic average, Labour's average of 39 is higher than in 1982.

As has already been pointed out, Labour's scores must be treated with caution since the question of individual choice versus state provision is not a focus for argument within the Party. However, the large drop in Labour's economic score is significant and indicates that the PLP does favour centralised decision making. Mr Kinnock's attempts to recast Labour as the Party of the individual should be examined in this light.



## Social and Liberal Democratic Party

The Democrats, created since the last election out of the two former alliance parties, seem to be slightly more cohesive than the old Liberal Party on economic issues. All Democrat MP score less than 50 in the 1987-88 ratings on the issue of economic choice. Non-economic matters clearly do divide the party - with Sir Russell Johnson, the Deputy leader, appearing in the top few and David Alton scoring 19 placing him very near to the lowest score.

## Social Democratic Party (SDP)

In the previous indices the SDP appeared to be a distinct and coherent group below the 50% mark. This led to the conclusion that the SDP was a left of centre group. Dr Owen's so called "continuing SDP" does not appear to represent the same point on the political spectrum as did the SDP in 1982. The SDP has moved to a position in favour of individual choice which is much nearer to that adopted by the Conservative Party.

## Other Parties

Of the remaining Members recorded in the ratings, 13 are from the Ulster Unionist parties and 3 are members of the SDLP in Northern Ireland. The remaining six MPs are divided equally between the Scottish Nationalist Party and Plaid Cymru, the Welsh nationalists. All of these MPs average below 50.

The Ulster Unionist Parties appear to have shifted away from individual choice. Whereas in 1982 they seemed to fit comfortably inside the Conservative Party, the new Ratings indicate that they hold a position nearer to that occupied by the Democrats. The nationalist parties of Ulster, Wales and Scotland still adopt a similar stance toward choice as that taken by the Labour Party.

## (e) Conclusion

The ASI Ratings are a useful addition to the vocabulary of political science. They provide analysts with an alternative tool with which to examine the functioning of British politics. Instead of talking of 'right' and 'left', 'wet' or 'dry' the Ratings offer a new definition of an MP's position.

Critics may argue that the views of individual MPs on issues which the government does not choose to 'whip', are of little significance. In these days of strong government and large majorities, it is easy to hold such a view. However, not all governments will have as secure a majority as the present administration and then the individual beliefs of MPs might be of vital importance. The fact that the 22 Labour Members of Parliament took the trouble to vote in every division on an economic subject which was chosen for this index is highly indicative of the views of those MPs. Those 22 votes could be very important to any future Labour government.



## GUIDE TO THE INDEX

The four columns of figures given after each Member's name and Party denote the following:

- Column 1: The Economic Rating, gained according to votes on the 11 divisions concerned with individual choice on economic matters.
- Column 2: The Non-Economic Rating, gained on the 8 votes concerned with choice on non-economic matters
- Column 3: The Reliability Quotient (RQ). This refers to the proportion of possible divisions in which the Member voted. The higher the RQ, the more reliable the rating.
- Column 4: The ASI Rating. This is the cumulative score over the 1987-88 session of the MP's votes on the issues selected.

The names of Parties have been abbreviated as follows:

Con	Conservative
Lab	Labour
Dem	Social and Liberal Democrat
SDP	Social Democrat
OUP	Official Unionist Party
DUP	Democratic Unionist Party
SNP	Scottish Nationalist Party
PlC	Plaid Cymru
SDLP	Social Democratic and Labour Party
UPUP	Ulster Popular Unionist Party



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
<hr/>					
Abbot, Ms Diane	Lab	14	69	.68	37
Adams, Allen	Lab	9	63	.68	32
Adley, Robert	Con	55	69	.42	61
Aitken, Jonathan	Con	64	25	.47	47
Alexander, Richard	Con	91	69	.74	82
Alison, Michael	Con	73	19	.53	50
Allason, Rupert	Con	64	31	.32	50
Allen, Graham	Lab	5	56	.89	26
Alton, David	Dem	9	19	.84	13
Amery, Julian	Con	64	44	.21	55
Amess, David	Con	64	25	.37	47
Amos, Alan	Con	77	31	.47	58
Anderson, Donald	Lab	5	56	.68	26
Arbuthnot, James	Con	77	44	.47	63
Archer, Peter	Lab	14	63	.74	34
Armstrong, Ms Hilary	Lab	9	69	.74	34
Arnold, Jacques	Con	82	38	.79	63
Arnold, Tom	Con	64	31	.32	50
Ashby, David	Con	82	38	.47	63
Ashdown, Paddy	Dem	23	63	.42	39
Ashley, Jack	Lab	27	63	.58	42
Ashton, Joseph	Lab	14	56	.79	32
Aspinwall, Jack	Con	68	25	.42	50
Atkins, Robert	Con	64	38	.26	53
Atkinson, David	Con	59	25	.63	45

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
<hr/>					
Baker, Kenneth	Con	64	50	.16	58
Baker, Nicholas	Con	68	31	.37	53
Baldry, Tony	Con	64	31	.32	50
Banks, Robert	Con	77	38	.53	61
Banks, Tony	Lab	9	44	.84	24
Barnes, Harry	Lab	0	56	.95	24
Barnes, Mrs Rosie	SDP	36	69	.53	50
Barron, Kevin	Lab	5	56	.89	26
Batiste, Spencer	Con	64	31	.32	50
Battle, John	Lab	5	44	.79	21
Beaumont-Dark, Anthony	Con	59	50	.42	55
Beckett, Mrs Margaret	Lab	9	63	.79	32
Beggs, Roy	OUP	32	31	.68	32
Beith, Alan	Dem	23	19	.58	21
Bell, Stuart	Lab	27	25	.47	26
Bellingham, Henry	Con	68	50	.21	61
Bendall, Vivian	Con	64	25	.47	47
Benn, Tony	Lab	5	56	.79	26
Bennett, Andrew	Lab	9	56	.84	29
Bennett, Nicholas	Con	95	44	.79	74
Benyon, William	Con	50	25	.42	39
Bermingham, Gerald	Lab	18	63	.58	37
Bevan, David	Con	73	25	.58	53
Bidwell, Sydney	Lab	18	69	.63	39
Biffen, John	Con	59	63	.32	61



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON	RQ	ASI INDEX
			-ECONOMIC		(%)
Biggs-Davison, Sir John	Con	68	50	.53	61
Blackburn, John	Con	91	44	.74	71
Blair, Anthony	Lab	23	69	.58	42
Blaker, Sir Peter	Con	73	38	.37	58
Blunkett, David	Lab	18	63	.58	37
Boateng, Paul	Lab	23	63	.53	39
Body, Sir Richard	Con	68	56	.26	63
Bonsor, Sir Nicholas	Con	82	63	.47	74
Boscawen, Robert	Con	64	38	.26	53
Boswell, Timothy	Con	95	31	.79	68
Bottomley, Mrs Virginia	Con	68	56	.37	63
Bottomley, Peter	Con	64	31	.32	50
Bowden, Andrew	Con	59	31	.26	47
Bowden, Gerald	Con	77	31	.58	58
Bowis, John	Con	82	31	.74	61
Boyes, Roland	Lab	0	50	.89	21
Boyson, Sir Rhodes	Con	77	31	.58	58
Bradley, Keith	Lab	9	56	.84	29
Braine, Sir Bernard	Con	68	13	.53	45
Brandon-Bravo, Martin	Con	68	31	.37	53
Bray, Dr Jeremy	Lab	32	25	.42	29
Brazier, Julian	Con	86	25	.84	61
Bright, Graham	Con	68	25	.42	50
Brittan, Sir Leon	Con	73	56	.32	66
Brooke, Peter	Con	64	44	.21	55

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON	RQ	ASI INDEX
			-ECONOMIC		(%)
Brown, Dr Gordon	Lab	23	63	.53	39
Brown, Michael	Con	91	25	.68	63
Brown, Nicholas	Lab	9	50	.79	26
Brown, Ronald	Lab	27	56	.32	39
Browne, John	Con	73	63	.47	68
Bruce, Ian	Con	68	31	.47	53
Bruce, Malcolm	Dem	18	56	.74	34
Buchan, Norman	Lab	14	69	.68	37
Buchanan-Smith, Alick	Con	45	50	.26	47
Buck, Sir Antony	Con	68	63	.53	66
Buckley, George	Lab	5	50	.84	24
Budgen, Nicholas	Con	73	38	.58	58
Burns, Simon	Con	86	38	.63	66
Burt, Alistair	Con	68	31	.37	53
Butcher, John	Con	64	31	.32	50
Butler, Christopher	Con	86	19	.68	58
Butterfill, John	Con	73	31	.53	55
Caborn, Richard	Lab	9	50	.89	26
Callaghan, Jim	Lab	9	56	.84	29
Campbell, Menzies	Dem	23	44	.79	32
Campbell, Ronald	Lab	5	31	.79	16
Campbell-Savours, Dale	Lab	5	19	.89	11
Canavan, Dennis	Lab	18	25	.68	21
Carlile, Alexander	Dem	27	31	.63	29
Carlisle, John	Con	77	56	.58	68



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON	RQ	ASI INDEX
			-ECONOMIC		(%)
Carlisle, Kenneth	Con	64	50	.26	58
Carrington, Matthew	Con	86	25	.74	61
Carttiss, Michael	Con	41	56	.26	47
Cartwright, John	SDP	41	69	.58	53
Cash, William	Con	73	38	.47	58
Chalker, Mrs Lynda	Con	64	44	.21	55
Channon, Paul	Con	64	38	.26	53
Chapman, Sydney	Con	68	31	.58	53
Chope, Christopher	Con	64	31	.32	50
Churchill, Winston	Con	45	38	.26	42
Clark, Alan	Con	64	31	.32	50
Clark, Dr David	Lab	9	56	.84	29
Clark, Dr Michael	Con	82	25	.58	58
Clark, Sir William	Con	86	25	.63	61
Clarke, Kenneth	Con	64	63	.26	63
Clarke, Thomas	Lab	5	19	.79	11
Clay, Robert	Lab	0	56	.95	24
Clelland, David	Lab	14	69	.68	37
Clwyd, Mrs Ann	Lab	9	56	.84	29
Cohen, Harry	Lab	5	50	.84	24
Coleman, Donald	Lab	23	63	.42	39
Colvin, Michael	Con	59	50	.53	55
Conway, Derek	Con	82	31	.53	61
Cook, Francis	Lab	9	44	.74	24
Cook, Robin	Lab	9	63	.79	32

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
<hr/>					
Coombs, Anthony	Con	91	44	.63	71
Coombs, Simon	Con	77	38	.74	61
Cope, John	Con	64	44	.21	55
Corbett, Robin	Lab	9	63	.68	32
Corbyn, Jeremy	Lab	18	63	.68	37
Cormack, Patrick	Con	41	44	.16	42
Couchman, James	Con	64	63	.37	63
Cousins, James	Lab	14	63	.63	34
Cox, Thomas	Lab	23	63	.53	39
Cran, James	Con	68	50	.53	61
Critchley, Julian	Con	45	56	.11	50
Crowther, Stanley	Lab	14	25	.74	18
Cryer, Robert	Lab	0	56	.95	24
Cummings, John	Lab	9	31	.74	18
Cunliffe, Lawrence	Lab	5	19	.79	11
Cunningham, Dr John	Lab	27	69	.42	45
Currie, Mrs Edwina	Con	64	44	.21	55
Curry, David	Con	82	25	.58	58
Dalyell, Tam	Lab	23	44	.58	32
Darling, Alastair	Lab	9	50	.79	26
Davies, Denzil	Lab	32	56	.26	42
Davies, Quentin	Con	86	81	.68	84
Davies, Ronald	Lab	14	56	.79	32
Davis, David	Con	91	31	.63	66
Davis, Terry	Lab	14	75	.63	39



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
<hr/>					
Day, Stephen	Con	59	25	.84	45
Devlin, Timothy	Con	77	19	.58	53
Dewar, Donald	Lab	18	31	.53	24
Dickens, Geoffrey	Con	77	31	.47	58
Dicks, Terence	Con	77	25	.53	55
Dixon, Donald	Lab	5	38	.74	18
Dobson, Frank	Lab	14	50	.74	29
Doran, Frank	Lab	5	56	.89	26
Dorrell, Stephen	Con	64	50	.16	58
Douglas, Richard	Lab	32	38	.42	34
Douglas-Hamilton, Lord James	Con	64	44	.21	55
Dover, Den	Con	68	31	.68	53
Duffy, Patrick	Lab	18	31	.63	24
Dunn, Robert	Con	68	31	.37	53
Dunnachie, Jimmy	Lab	5	50	.84	24
Dunwoody, Mrs Gwyneth	Lab	32	56	.58	42
Durant, Tony	Con	64	25	.37	47
Dykes, Hugh	Con	55	69	.32	61
Eadie, Alexander	Lab	27	63	.58	42
Eastham, Kenneth	Lab	5	56	.89	26
Eggar, Timothy	Con	64	44	.21	55
Emery, Sir Peter	Con	64	38	.37	53
Evans, David	Con	86	63	.63	76
Evans, John	Lab	14	75	.63	39
Evennett, David	Con	50	25	.42	39

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
Ewing, Harry	Lab	9	75	.68	37
Ewing, Mrs Margaret	SNP	14	25	.84	18
Fairbairn, Sir Nicholas	Con	68	56	.26	63
Fallon, Michael	Con	73	31	.42	55
Farr, Sir John	Con	59	63	.21	61
Fatchett, Derek	Lab	14	56	.79	32
Faulds, Andrew	Lab	18	56	.42	34
Favell, Anthony	Con	59	25	.42	45
Fearn, Ronald	Dem	18	38	.89	26
Fenner, Dame Peggy	Con	73	25	.47	53
Field, Barry	Con	82	50	.58	68
Field, Frank	Lab	27	6	.74	18
Fields, Terence	Lab	5	63	.84	29
Finsberg, Sir Geoffrey	Con	68	38	.32	55
Fisher, Mark	Lab	9	63	.79	32
Flannery, Martin	Lab	5	63	.84	29
Flynn, Paul	Lab	9	50	.79	26
Fookes, Miss Janet	Con	82	31	.63	61
Foot, Michael	Lab	18	56	.74	34
Forman, Nigel	Con	64	44	.32	55
Forsyth, Michael	Con	64	31	.32	50
Forsyth, Clifford	OUP	27	31	.42	29
Forth, Eric	Con	86	81	.89	84
Foster, Derek	Lab	14	50	.74	29
Foulkes, George	Lab	18	75	.58	42



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
<hr/>					
Fowler, Norman	Con	64	50	.16	58
Fox, Sir Marcus	Con	82	38	.68	63
Franks, Cecil	Con	77	38	.42	61
Fraser, John	Lab	23	63	.53	39
Freeman, Roger	Con	64	31	.32	50
French, Douglas	Con	77	38	.74	61
Fry, Peter	Con	50	31	.37	42
Fyfe, Mrs Maria	Lab	0	56	.95	24
Galbraith, Samuel	Lab	9	63	.79	32
Gale, Roger	Con	82	38	.47	63
Galloway, George	Lab	9	38	.68	21
Gardiner, George	Con	82	56	.53	71
Garel-Jones, Tristan	Con	64	25	.37	47
Garrett, Edward	Lab	9	56	.53	29
Garrett, John	Lab	0	56	.95	24
George, Bruce	Lab	27	75	.47	47
Gilbert, Dr John	Lab	27	69	.42	45
Gill, Christopher	Con	91	63	.68	79
Gilmour, Sir Ian	Con	41	63	.21	50
Glyn, Dr Alan	Con	77	44	.58	63
Godman, Dr Norman	Lab	14	44	.68	26
Golding, Mrs Llin	Lab	5	63	.84	29
Goodhart, Sir Philip	Con	50	31	.37	42
Goodlad, Alastair	Con	64	50	.16	58
Goodson-Wickes, Dr Charles	Con	82	63	.68	74

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
Gordon, Mrs Mildred	Lab	18	69	.63	39
Gorman, Mrs Teresa	Con	86	75	.63	82
Gorst, John	Con	64	38	.37	53
Gould, Bryan	Lab	18	63	.68	37
Gow, Ian	Con	91	56	.63	76
Gower, Sir Raymond	Con	73	44	.42	61
Graham, Thomas	Lab	18	38	.58	26
Grant, Bernie	Lab	9	56	.74	29
Grant, Sir Anthony	Con	77	50	.42	66
Greenway, Harry	Con	73	25	.58	53
Greenway, John	Con	64	38	.26	53
Gregory, Conal	Con	50	38	.53	45
Griffiths, Nigel	Lab	18	56	.74	34
Griffiths, Peter	Con	73	25	.47	53
Griffiths, Sir Eldon	Con	68	50	.21	61
Griffiths, Winston	Lab	9	63	.68	32
Grist, Ian	Con	64	56	.21	61
Grocott, Bruce	Lab	5	19	.89	11
Ground, Patrick	Con	68	56	.26	63
Grylls, Michael	Con	68	44	.47	58
Gummer, John Selwyn	Con	64	38	.26	53
Hamilton, Archibald	Con	64	31	.32	50
Hamilton, Neil	Con	82	31	.53	61
Hampson, Dr Keith	Con	45	44	.32	45
Hanley, Jeremy	Con	64	31	.32	50



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON	RQ	ASI INDEX
			-ECONOMIC		(%)
Hannam, John	Con	50	31	.26	42
Hardy, Peter	Lab	18	19	.63	18
Hargreaves, Andrew	Con	64	38	.37	53
Hargreaves, Kenneth	Con	73	19	.63	50
Harman, Ms Harriet	Lab	9	69	.63	34
Harris, David	Con	68	38	.32	55
Haslehurst, Alan	Con	45	69	.53	55
Hattersley, Roy	Lab	18	50	.47	32
Hawkins, Christopher	Con	45	31	.32	39
Hayes, Jeremy	Con	64	31	.63	50
Hayhoe, Sir Barney	Con	36	31	.32	34
Haynes, Frank	Lab	0	50	1.00	21
Hayward, Robert	Con	68	31	.37	53
Healey, Denis	Lab	36	69	.32	50
Heath, Edward	Con	45	69	.21	55
Heathcoat-Amory, David	Con	64	56	.32	61
Heddle, John	Con	68	44	.26	58
Heffer, Eric	Lab	0	56	.95	24
Henderson, Douglas	Lab	14	44	.79	26
Heseltine, Michael	Con	41	38	.32	39
Hicks, Mrs Maureen	Con	82	31	.63	61
Hicks, Robert	Con	36	69	.32	50
Higgins, Terence	Con	64	44	.32	55
Hill, James	Con	68	25	.42	50
Hinchliffe, David	Lab	0	38	.89	16

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
<hr/>					
Hind, Kenneth	Con	68	31	.37	53
Hogg, Douglas	Con	64	44	.21	55
Hogg, Norman	Lab	14	31	.68	21
Holland, Stuart	Lab	23	69	.47	42
Holt, Richard	Con	68	31	.58	53
Home Robertson, John	Lab	5	31	.68	16
Hood, Jimmy	Lab	14	63	.74	34
Horden, Sir Peter	Con	73	31	.42	55
Howard, Michael	Con	64	31	.32	50
Howarth, Alan	Con	64	56	.21	61
Howarth, George	Lab	9	56	.84	29
Howarth, Gerald	Con	73	50	.37	63
Howe, Sir Geoffrey	Con	64	50	.26	58
Howell, David	Con	64	31	.32	50
Howell, Denis	Lab	32	56	.37	42
Howell, Ralph	Con	68	50	.32	61
Howells, Geraint	Dem	18	44	.74	29
Hoyle, Douglas	Lab	18	69	.63	39
Hughes, John	Lab	9	31	.84	18
Hughes, Robert	Lab	5	56	.79	26
Hughes, Robert G	Con	77	25	.63	55
Hughes, Roy	Lab	9	56	.63	29
Hughes, Sean	Lab	9	31	.74	18
Hughes, Simon	Dem	14	25	.74	18
Hume, John	SDLP	23	44	.37	32



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
<hr/>					
Hunt, David	Con	68	31	.37	53
Hunt, John	Con	45	56	.11	50
Hunter, Andrew	Con	86	25	.63	61
Hurd, Douglas	Con	64	31	.32	50
Illsley, Eric	Lab	14	69	.68	37
Ingram, Adam	Lab	14	69	.58	37
Irvine, Michael	Con	73	25	.58	53
Irving, Charles	Con	68	31	.37	53
Jack, Michael	Con	55	44	.42	50
Jackson, Robert	Con	64	50	.16	58
Janman, Timothy	Con	95	38	.84	71
Janner, Greville	Lab	18	50	.58	32
Jessel, Toby	Con	68	38	.63	55
John, Bynmor	Lab	27	69	.42	45
Johnson Smith, Sir Geoffrey	Con	55	38	.37	47
Johnston, Sir Russell	Dem	32	75	.42	50
Jones, Barry	Lab	9	56	.74	29
Jones, Gwilyn	Con	73	38	.47	58
Jones, Ieuan Wyn	PlC	14	38	.63	24
Jones, Martyn	Lab	9	63	.79	32
Jones, Robert	Con	95	38	.74	71
Jopling, Michael	Con	68	50	.42	61
Kaufman, Gerald	Lab	18	38	.47	26
Kellett-Bowman, Dame Elaine	Con	82	31	.74	61
Kennedy, Charles,	Dem	36	19	.53	29

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON	RQ	ASI INDEX
			-ECONOMIC		(%)
Key, Robert	Con	64	31	.32	50
Kilfedder, James	UPUP	45	31	.95	39
King, Roger	Con	73	31	.42	55
King, Tom	Con	64	50	.26	58
Kinnock, Neil	Lab	23	56	.58	37
Kirkhope, Timothy	Con	77	38	.63	61
Kirkwood, Archy	Dem	23	56	.68	37
Knapman, Roger	Con	73	19	.63	50
Knight, Dame Jill	Con	64	31	.53	50
Knight, Gregory	Con	68	38	.32	55
Knowles, Michael	Con	68	50	.32	61
Knox, David	Con	36	63	.47	47
Lambie, David	Lab	9	31	.74	18
Lamond, James	Lab	9	25	.79	16
Lamont, Norman	Con	64	38	.26	53
Lang, Ian	Con	64	31	.32	50
Latham, Michael	Con	68	25	.53	50
Lawrence, Ivan	Con	82	44	.63	66
Lawson, Nigel	Con	64	38	.26	53
Leadbitter, Edward	Lab	23	75	.53	45
Lee, John	Con	64	50	.16	58
Leigh, Edward	Con	77	31	.47	58
Leighton, Ronald	Lab	14	56	.79	32
Lennox-Boyd, Mark	Con	64	31	.32	50
Lester, James	Con	41	44	.26	42



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
<hr/>					
Lestor, Miss Joan	Lab	23	69	.58	42
Lewis, Terence	Lab	5	63	.84	29
Lightbown, David	Con	64	38	.26	53
Lilley, Peter	Con	64	31	.32	50
Litherland, Robert	Lab	5	63	.84	29
Livingstone, Ken	Lab	18	56	.63	34
Livsey, Richard	Dem	18	69	.63	39
Lloyd, Anthony	Lab	9	50	.79	26
Lloyd, Peter	Con	64	25	.37	47
Lloyd, Sir Ian	Con	91	44	.63	71
Lofthouse, Geoffrey	Lab	9	38	.68	21
Lord, Michael	Con	73	31	.42	55
Loyden, Edward	Lab	9	56	.74	29
Luce, Richard	Con	64	31	.32	50
Lyell, Sir Nicholas	Con	64	44	.32	55
Macdonald, Calum	Lab	27	25	.47	26
MacGregor, John	Con	64	31	.32	50
Macfarlane, Neil	Con	77	38	.42	61
MacKay, Andrew	Con	73	69	.53	71
Maclean, David	Con	64	31	.32	50
MacLennan, Robert	Dem	32	44	.37	37
Madden, Max	Lab	5	50	.95	24
Madel, David	Con	45	56	.21	50
Maginnis, Kenneth	OUP	36	31	.32	34
Mahon, Ms Alice	Lab	0	50	.89	21

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
Major, John	Con	64	31	.32	50
Malins, Humfrey	Con	64	31	.32	50
Mallon, Seamus	SDLP	27	31	.42	29
Mans, Keith	Con	95	31	.68	68
Maples, John	Con	64	69	.32	66
Marek, Dr John	Lab	9	44	.74	24
Marland, Paul	Con	82	50	.58	68
Marlow, Antony	Con	73	19	.63	50
Marshall, David	Lab	18	44	.53	29
Marshall, James	Lab	5	69	.79	32
Marshall, John	Con	82	25	.58	58
Marshall, Michael	Con	68	44	.26	58
Martin, David	Con	86	50	.63	71
Martin, Michael	Lab	18	25	.68	21
Martlew, Eric	Lab	14	63	.74	34
Mates, Michael	Con	45	25	.37	37
Maude, Francis	Con	64	31	.32	50
Mawhinney, Dr Brian	Con	64	31	.32	50
Maxton, John	Lab	9	69	.74	34
Maxwell-Hyslop, Robin	Con	41	44	.37	42
Mayhew, Sir Patrick	Con	64	31	.32	50
McAllion, John	Lab	0	56	.95	24
McAvoy, Thomas	Lab	0	25	.79	11
McCartney, Ian	Lab	14	56	.68	32
McCrea, Rev William	DUP	41	31	.26	37



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
<hr/>					
McCrindle, Robert	Con	68	38	.53	55
McCusker, Harold	OUP	41	31	.26	37
McFall, John	Lab	18	25	.68	21
McGrady, Edward	SDLP	32	31	.37	32
McKay, Allen	Lab	5	50	.74	24
McKelvey, William	Lab	14	56	.79	32
McLeish, Henry	Lab	14	69	.68	37
McLoughlin, Patrick	Con	77	31	.47	58
McNair-Wilson, Michael	Con	82	31	.53	61
McNair-Wilson, Patrick	Con	68	50	.21	61
McNamara, Kevin	Lab	18	25	.58	21
McTaggart, Robert	Lab	23	63	.53	39
McWilliam, John	Lab	23	63	.53	39
Meacher, Michael	Lab	9	56	.84	29
Meale, Alan	Lab	14	69	.68	37
Mellor, David	Con	64	31	.32	50
Meyer, Sir Anthony	Con	50	50	.53	50
Michael, Alun	Lab	5	50	.84	24
Michie, Mrs Ray	Dem	18	44	.84	29
Michie, William	Lab	0	50	1.00	21
Millan, Bruce	Lab	23	19	.58	21
Miller, Sir Hilary	Con	73	50	.47	63
Mills, Iain	Con	68	31	.37	53
Miscampbell, Norman	Con	59	69	.26	63
Mitchell, Andrew	Con	86	44	.58	68

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
Mitchell, Austin	Lab	27	50	.37	37
Mitchell, Sir David	Con	64	63	.26	63
Moate, Roger	Con	68	38	.53	55
Molyneaux, James	OUP	23	44	.58	32
Monro, Sir Hector	Con	73	38	.47	58
Montgomery, Sir Fergus	Con	86	25	.63	61
Moonie, Dr Lewis	Lab	5	56	.89	26
Moore, John	Con	64	44	.21	55
Morgan, Rhodri	Lab	5	56	.79	26
Morley, Elliot	Lab	9	56	.84	29
Morris, Alfred	Lab	23	31	.58	26
Morris, John	Lab	27	50	.47	37
Morris, Michael	Con	68	44	.37	58
Morrison, Charles	Con	36	63	.47	47
Morrison, Peter	Con	64	31	.32	50
Moss, Malcolm	Con	82	31	.63	61
Mowlam, Dr Marjorie	Lab	14	56	.68	32
Moynihan, Colin	Con	64	31	.32	50
Mudd, David	Con	59	31	.47	47
Mullin, Christopher	Lab	5	56	.89	26
Murphy, Paul	Lab	14	38	.53	24
Neale, Gerrard	Con	73	50	.26	63
Needham, Richard	Con	64	63	.26	63
Nellist, David	Lab	9	50	.79	26
Nelson, Anthony	Con	82	69	.53	76



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
<hr/>					
Neubert, Michael	Con	64	31	.32	50
Newton, Tony	Con	64	38	.26	53
Nicholls, Patrick	Con	64	31	.32	50
Nicholson, David	Con	68	38	.63	55
Nicholson, Miss Emma	Con	64	63	.58	63
O'Brien, William	Lab	9	25	.79	16
O'Neill, Martin	Lab	5	63	.84	29
Oakes, Gordon	Lab	27	25	.58	26
Onslow, Cranley	Con	77	38	.42	61
Oppenheim, Philip	Con	77	56	.37	68
Orme, Stanley	Lab	18	50	.68	32
Owen, Dr David	SDP	41	69	.26	53
Page, Richard	Con	68	25	.42	50
Paice, James	Con	86	31	.68	63
Paisley, Rev Ian	DUP	45	25	.26	37
Parkinson, Cecil	Con	64	44	.21	55
Parry, Robert	Lab	14	31	.68	21
Patchett, Terry	Lab	0	56	.95	24
Patnick, Cyril	Con	82	63	.58	74
Patten, Christopher	Con	64	31	.32	50
Patten, John	Con	64	31	.32	50
Pattie, Sir Geoffrey	Con	59	50	.42	55
Pawsey, James	Con	77	25	.53	55
Peacock, Mrs Elizabeth	Con	32	31	.37	32
Pendry, Tom	Lab	23	13	.63	18

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
<hr/>					
Pike, Peter	Lab	0	50	1.00	21
Porter, Barry	Con	64	31	.32	50
Porter, David	Con	82	31	.63	61
Portillo, Michael	Con	64	31	.32	50
Powell, Raymond	Lab	5	63	.84	29
Powell, William	Con	64	44	.21	55
Prescott, John	Lab	23	63	.53	39
Price, Sir David	Con	64	38	.37	53
Primarolo, Mrs Dawn	Lab	0	69	.84	29
Quin, Ms Joyce	Lab	14	63	.63	34
Radice, Giles	Lab	18	56	.53	34
Raffan, Keith	Con	64	69	.32	66
Raison, Timothy	Con	64	31	.42	50
Randall, Stuart	Lab	18	63	.58	37
Rathbone, John R (Tim)	Con	55	69	.42	61
Redmond, Martin	Lab	9	63	.79	32
Redwood, John	Con	100	44	.84	76
Rees, Merlyn	Lab	23	69	.47	42
Reid, Dr John	Lab	14	25	.74	18
Renton, Timothy	Con	64	31	.32	50
Rhodes James, Robert	Con	68	56	.47	63
Rhys Williams, Sir Brandon	Con	55	44	.21	50
Richardson, Ms Jo	Lab	9	56	.84	29
Riddick, Graham	Con	77	81	.68	79
Ridley, Nicholas	Con	64	50	.16	58



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON	RQ	ASI INDEX
			-ECONOMIC		(%)
Ridsdale, Sir Julian	Con	73	38	.37	58
Rifkind, Malcolm	Con	64	50	.16	58
Roberts, Allan	Lab	18	50	.47	32
Roberts, Wyn	Con	64	44	.21	55
Robertson, George	Lab	9	25	.68	16
Robinson, Geoffrey	Lab	14	69	.58	37
Robinson, Peter	DUP	32	31	.37	32
Roe, Mrs Marion	Con	68	31	.37	53
Rogers, Allan	Lab	9	63	.68	32
Rooker, Jeffrey	Lab	14	63	.63	34
Ross, Ernest	Lab	0	56	.84	24
Ross, William	OUP	36	31	.32	34
Rossi, Sir Hugh	Con	68	25	.42	50
Rost, Peter	Con	86	38	.74	66
Rowe, Andrew	Con	59	50	.32	55
Rowlands, Edward	Lab	18	25	.58	21
Ruddock, Mrs Joan	Lab	9	56	.84	29
Rumbold, Mrs Angela	Con	64	31	.32	50
Ryder, Richard	Con	64	69	.32	66
Sackville, Thomas	Con	68	63	.42	66
Sainsbury, Timothy	Con	64	44	.21	55
Salmond, Alexander	SNP	23	31	.58	26
Sayeed, Jonathan	Con	68	25	.42	50
Scott, Nicholas	Con	64	63	.26	63
Sedgemore, Brian	Lab	5	56	.89	26

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON	RQ	ASI INDEX
			-ECONOMIC		(%)
Shaw, David	Con	91	44	.74	71
Shaw, Sir Giles	Con	73	50	.37	63
Shaw, Sir Michael	Con	68	56	.37	63
Sheerman, Barry	Lab	14	31	.68	21
Sheldon, Robert	Lab	0	56	.95	24
Shelton, William	Con	64	31	.32	50
Shephard, Mrs Gillian	Con	82	56	.53	71
Shepherd, Colin	Con	64	31	.32	50
Shepherd, Richard	Con	68	31	.37	53
Shersby, Michael	Con	82	25	.58	58
Shore, Peter	Lab	32	69	.37	47
Short, Ms Clare	Lab	14	50	.84	29
Sims, Roger	Con	73	31	.53	55
Skeet, Sir Trevor	Con	82	25	.58	58
Skinner, Dennis	Lab	0	38	1.00	16
Smith, Andrew	Lab	9	56	.84	29
Smith, Christopher	Lab	14	50	.84	29
Smith, Cyril	Dem	36	38	.26	37
Smith, John	Lab	23	25	.63	24
Smith, Sir Dudley	Con	68	31	.37	53
Smith, Timothy	Con	86	50	.63	71
Smyth, Rev Martin	OUP	36	31	.42	34
Snape, Peter	Lab	23	63	.53	39
Soames, Nicholas	Con	59	44	.26	53
Soley, Clive	Lab	5	75	.74	34



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ ASI INDEX (%)	
<hr/>					
Spearing, Nigel	Lab	0	63	.89	26
Speed, Keith	Con	64	44	.21	55
Speller, Tony	Con	59	31	.26	47
Spicer, Sir James	Con	73	44	.53	61
Spicer, Michael	Con	64	44	.21	55
Squire, Robin	Con	50	63	.42	55
Stanbrook, Ivor	Con	86	25	.63	61
Stanley, John	Con	64	31	.32	50
Steel, David	Dem	27	56	.53	39
Steen, Anthony	Con	59	25	.32	45
Steinberg, Gerald	Lab	5	69	.79	32
Stern, Michael	Con	68	38	.32	55
Stevens, Lewis	Con	68	31	.37	53
Stewart, Allan	Con	82	38	.68	63
Stewart, Andrew	Con	64	31	.32	50
Stewart, Ian	Con	64	31	.32	50
Stokes, Sir John	Con	68	44	.26	58
Stott, Roger	Lab	14	75	.63	39
Stradling Thomas, Sir John	Con	59	50	.21	55
Strang, Gavin	Lab	9	56	.84	29
Straw, Jack	Lab	14	69	.68	37
Sumberg, David	Con	64	31	.32	50
Summerson, Hugo	Con	77	38	.53	61
Tapsell, Sir Peter	Con	59	44	.37	53
Taylor, Mrs Ann	Lab	9	63	.79	32

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON	RQ	ASI INDEX
			-ECONOMIC		(%)
<hr/>					
Taylor, Edward	Con	73	31	.42	55
Taylor, Ian	Con	77	63	.53	71
Taylor, John David	OUP	45	38	.16	42
Taylor, John Mark	Con	73	31	.42	55
Taylor, Matthew	Dem	23	50	.84	34
Tebbit, Norman	Con	68	38	.42	55
Temple-Morris, Peter	Con	55	31	.32	45
Thomas, Dafydd	PlC	14	50	.53	29
Thompson, Donald	Con	64	44	.21	55
Thompson, John	Lab	18	38	.47	26
Thompson, Patrick	Con	68	31	.37	53
Thorne, Neil	Con	86	25	.63	61
Thornton, Malcolm	Con	68	31	.47	53
Thurnham, Peter	Con	64	69	.32	66
Townend, John	Con	77	50	.42	66
Townsend, Cyril	Con	59	50	.53	55
Tracey, Richard	Con	77	31	.47	58
Tredinnick, David	Con	64	56	.42	61
Trippier, David	Con	64	31	.32	50
Trotter, Neville	Con	64	56	.32	61
Turner, Dennis	Lab	9	56	.84	29
Twinn, Dr Ian	Con	64	31	.32	50
Vaughan, Sir Gerard	Con	59	31	.47	47
Vaz, Keith	Lab	14	38	.74	24
Viggers, Peter	Con	64	63	.26	63



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
<hr/>					
Waddington, David	Con	64	25	.37	47
Wakeham, John	Con	64	31	.32	50
Waldegrave, William	Con	64	31	.32	50
Walden, George	Con	73	44	.32	61
Walker, Cecil	OUP	41	31	.37	37
Walker, Peter	Con	64	44	.21	55
Walker, William	Con	73	50	.47	63
Wall, Patrick	Lab	5	50	.95	24
Wallace, James	Dem	18	31	.63	24
Waller, Gary	Con	55	38	.47	47
Walley, Ms Joan	Lab	5	63	.84	29
Walters, Dennis	Con	50	44	.50	47
Ward, John	Con	73	31	.42	55
Wardell, Gareth	Lab	27	75	.47	47
Wardle, Charles	Con	68	31	.37	53
Wareing, Robert	Lab	9	56	.84	29
Warren, Kenneth	Con	73	56	.53	66
Watts, John	Con	91	25	.68	63
Wells, Bowen	Con	55	69	.32	61
Welsh, Andrew	SNP	18	38	.58	26
Welsh, Michael	Lab	9	69	.74	34
Wheeler, John	Con	77	69	.58	74
Whitney, Raymond	Con	68	31	.47	53
Widdecombe, Mrs Ann	Con	82	25	.79	58
Wiggin, Jerry	Con	73	63	.47	68

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON	RQ	ASI INDEX
			-ECONOMIC		(%)
Wigley, Dafydd	PlC	9	63	.79	32
Wilkinson, John	Con	64	38	.37	53
Williams, Alan J	Lab	14	75	.63	39
Williams, Alan W	Lab	0	63	.89	26
Wilshire, David	Con	77	31	.47	58
Wilson, Brian	Lab	5	44	.89	21
Winnick, David	Lab	0	56	.95	24
Winterton, Mrs Ann	Con	50	31	.37	42
Winterton, Nicholas	Con	55	31	.42	45
Wise, Mrs Audrey	Lab	9	69	.74	34
Wolfson, Mark	Con	64	63	.26	63
Wood, Timothy	Con	68	44	.26	58
Woodcock, Michael	Con	59	44	.37	53
Worthington, Tony	Lab	9	19	.84	13
Wray, James	Lab	18	63	.58	37
Yeo, Timothy	Con	59	31	.47	47
Young, David	Lab	9	69	.74	34
Young, Sir George	Con	59	38	.42	50
Younger, George	Con	64	50	.26	58



# APPENDIX 1

## Motions used in Index

Div. No.	Subject	Plus Vote
E 112	Child Benefit (Uprating) Bill	Against
E 128	Post Office Exclusive Privilege (Extinction) Bill	For
NE 150	Abortion Amendment Bill	For
E 153	Regional Health Authorities (Abolition)	For
E 160	Personal Income (Ending of Higher Rate Tax)	For
E 180	National Health Service (National Lottery) Bill	For
NE 248	Blacklists (Access to Information)	Against
NE 252	Indecent Displays (Newspapers)	Against
E 262	Local Government Finance Bill (the 'Mates' amendment) Breakdown of whipping at least 38 Tories voted for the amendment	Against
NE 288	Abortion Amendment Bill	Against
NE 289	Abortion Amendment Bill	For
E 297	Dock Labour Scheme (Amendment and Limitation)	For
NE 303	Motor Vehicles (Wearing of Rear Seat Belts by Children) Bill	Against
NE 369	British Identity Card	Against
NE 384	Police Act 1964 (Amendment)	Against
E 425	Railway Termini (Privatization) Bill	For
E 462	Health and Medicines Bill (Vote on Dental Charges - breakdown of whipping)	For
E 463	Health and Medicines Bill (Vote on Eye test charges - breakdown of whipping)	For
E 476	British Rail (Privatization)	For

(E denotes an Economic issue)  
(NE denotes a non-Economic issue)

# APPENDIX 2

## Distribution of overall ASI Ratings by Party

ASI Rating    CON LAB DEM SDP OUP DUP UPUP SDLP SNP PLC

=====

99-95										
94-90										
89-85										
84-80	4									
79-75	6									
74-70	17									
69-65	23									
64-60	76									
59-55	89									
54-50	115	1	1	3						
<hr/>										
49-45	28	7								
44-40	8	10			1					
39-35	5	36	6		2	2	1			
34-30	2	44	3		5	1		2		1
29-25		62	5		1			1	2	1
24-20		44	2							1
19-15		17	1							
14-10		6	1						1	
9-5										
4-0										



# Distribution of Ratings on Economic Issues by Party

Economic	CON	LAB	DEM	SDP	OUP	DUP	UPUP	SDLP	SNP	PLC
Score										

=====

99-95	6
94-90	10
89-85	18
84-80	29
79-75	29
74-70	37
69-65	60
64-60	121
59-55	32
54-50	10

49-45	10				1	1	1			
44-40	6			2	2	1				
39-35	4	1	2	1	3					
34-30	1	6	2		1	1		1		
29-25		14	2		1			1		
24-20		20	5		1			1	1	
19-15		31	6					1		
14-10		39	1					1		2
9-5		94	1							1
4-0		22								

Distribution of Ratings on Non-Economic Issues by Party

ASI Rating CON LAB DEM SDP OUP DUP UPUP SDLP SNP PIC

=====

99-95									
94-90									
89-85									
84-80	3								
79-75	1	10	1						
74-70									
69-65	16	30	1	3					
64-60	24	44	1					1	
59-55	22	58	3						
54-50	39	28	1						1

49-45									
44-40	49	9	4		1			1	
39-35	52	11	2		1				1
34-30	116	12	2		7	2	1	2	1
29-25	44	16	1			1			1
24-20									
19-15	6	7	3						
14-10	1	1							
9-5		1							
4-0									

Barnes, Mrs Annie	Lab	35	50	44	41
Barnes, Kevin	Lab	5	30	24	12
Bastide, Spencer	Lab	61	54	29	32
Bell, John	Lab	5	50	28	12
Bonham-Carter, Anthony	Con	54	60	50	24
Beckett, Mrs Margaret	Lab	5	40	25	12
Bocor, Roy	Opp	32	50	22	28
Bell, Alan	Dem	23	30	20	25
Bell, Stuart	Lab	21	40	24	31
Bell, John, Henry	Con	68	54	25	33
Boulton, Vivian	Con	54	40	26	30
Burn, Tony	Lab	5	50	25	13
Burnett, Andrew	Lab	5	30	21	18
Burnett, Nicholas	Con	95	70	25	33
Burns, William	Con	60	40	51	41
Burns, David, Gerald	Lab	10	40	50	25
Burns, David	Con	15	40	50	30
Burnell, Sydney	Lab	15	50	35	22



APPENDIX 3: ASI RATINGS 1987-88 (NOT INCLUDING VOTES ON ABORTION)

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
Abbot, Ms Diane	Lab	14	50	.63	25
Adams, Allen	Lab	9	40	.63	19
Adley, Robert	Con	55	50	.31	53
Aitken, Jonathan	Con	64	40	.38	56
Alexander, Richard	Con	91	70	.81	84
Alison, Michael	Con	73	30	.44	59
Allason, Rupert	Con	64	50	.19	59
Allen, Graham	Lab	5	30	.88	13
Alton, David	Dem	9	30	.81	16
Amery, Julian	Con	64	50	.19	59
Amess, David	Con	64	40	.25	56
Amos, Alan	Con	77	50	.38	69
Anderson, Donald	Lab	5	50	.63	19
Arbuthnot, James	Con	77	50	.38	69
Archer, Peter	Lab	14	40	.69	22
Armstrong, Ms Hilary	Lab	9	50	.69	22
Arnold, Jacques	Con	82	60	.75	75
Arnold, Tom	Con	64	50	.19	59
Ashby, David	Con	82	40	.50	69
Ashdown, Paddy	Dem	23	50	.38	31
Ashley, Jack	Lab	27	40	.50	31
Ashton, Joseph	Lab	14	30	.75	19
Aspinwall, Jack	Con	68	40	.31	59
Atkins, Robert	Con	64	50	.19	59
Atkinson, David	Con	59	40	.56	53
Baker, Kenneth	Con	64	50	.19	59
Baker, Nicholas	Con	68	50	.25	63
Baldry, Tony	Con	64	50	.19	59
Banks, Robert	Con	77	50	.50	69
Banks, Tony	Lab	9	10	.81	9
Barnes, Harry	Lab	0	30	.94	9
Barnes, Mrs Rosie	SDP	36	50	.44	41
Barron, Kevin	Lab	5	30	.88	13
Batiste, Spencer	Con	64	50	.19	59
Battle, John	Lab	5	50	.75	19
Beaumont-Dark, Anthony	Con	59	50	.50	56
Beckett, Mrs Margaret	Lab	9	40	.75	19
Beggs, Roy	OUP	32	50	.63	38
Beith, Alan	Dem	23	30	.50	25
Bell, Stuart	Lab	27	40	.38	31
Bellingham, Henry	Con	68	50	.25	63
Bendall, Vivian	Con	64	40	.38	56
Benn, Tony	Lab	5	30	.75	13
Bennett, Andrew	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Bennett, Nicholas	Con	95	70	.75	88
Benyon, William	Con	50	40	.31	47
Bermingham, Gerald	Lab	18	40	.50	25
Bevan, David	Con	73	40	.50	63
Bidwell, Sydney	Lab	18	50	.56	28

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
=====					
Biffen, John	Con	59	50	.25	56
Biggs-Davison, Sir John	Con	68	80	.44	72
Blackburn, John	Con	91	70	.69	84
Blair, Anthony	Lab	23	50	.50	31
Blaker, Sir Peter	Con	73	50	.31	66
Blunkett, David	Lab	18	40	.50	25
Boateng, Paul	Lab	23	40	.44	28
Body, Sir Richard	Con	68	60	.31	66
Bonsor, Sir Nicholas	Con	82	50	.44	72
Boscawen, Robert	Con	64	50	.19	59
Boswell, Timothy	Con	95	50	.75	81
Bottomley, Mrs Virginia	Con	68	50	.25	63
Bottomley, Peter	Con	64	40	.25	56
Bowden, Andrew	Con	59	50	.13	56
Bowden, Gerald	Con	77	50	.50	69
Bowis, John	Con	82	50	.69	72
Boyes, Roland	Lab	0	20	.88	6
Boyson, Sir Rhodes	Con	77	50	.50	69
Bradley, Keith	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Braine, Sir Bernard	Con	68	20	.44	53
Brandon-Bravo, Martin	Con	68	50	.25	63
Bray, Dr Jeremy	Lab	32	40	.31	34
Brazier, Julian	Con	86	40	.81	72
Bright, Graham	Con	68	40	.31	59
Brittan, Sir Leon	Con	73	60	.38	69
Brooke, Peter	Con	64	50	.19	59
Brown, Dr Gordon	Lab	23	40	.44	28
Brown, Michael	Con	91	40	.63	75
Brown, Nicholas	Lab	9	20	.75	13
Brown, Ronald	Lab	27	50	.31	34
Browne, John	Con	73	40	.38	63
Bruce, Ian	Con	68	40	.44	59
Bruce, Malcolm	Dem	18	30	.69	22
Buchan, Norman	Lab	14	50	.63	25
Buchanan-Smith, Alick	Con	45	60	.13	50
Buck, Sir Antony	Con	68	60	.44	66
Buckley, George	Lab	5	40	.81	16
Budgen, Nicholas	Con	73	60	.50	69
Burns, Simon	Con	86	60	.56	78
Burt, Alistair	Con	68	50	.25	63
Butcher, John	Con	64	50	.19	59
Butler, Christopher	Con	86	30	.63	69
Butterfill, John	Con	73	50	.44	66
Caborn, Richard	Lab	9	20	.88	13
Callaghan, Jim	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Campbell, Menzies	Dem	23	30	.75	25
Campbell, Ronald	Lab	5	40	.81	16
Campbell-Savours, Dale	Lab	5	30	.88	13
Canavan, Dennis	Lab	18	40	.63	25



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
=====					
Carlile, Alexander	Dem	27	50	.56	34
Carlisle, John	Con	77	50	.63	69
Carlisle, Kenneth	Con	64	50	.19	59
Carrington, Matthew	Con	86	40	.69	72
Carttiss, Michael	Con	41	60	.31	47
Cartwright, John	SDP	41	50	.50	44
Cash, William	Con	73	60	.38	69
Chalker, Mrs Lynda	Con	64	50	.19	59
Channon, Paul	Con	64	50	.19	59
Chapman, Sydney	Con	68	50	.50	63
Chope, Christopher	Con	64	50	.19	59
Churchill, Winston	Con	45	50	.19	47
Clark, Alan	Con	64	50	.19	59
Clark, Dr David	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Clark, Dr Michael	Con	82	40	.50	69
Clark, Sir William	Con	86	40	.56	72
Clarke, Kenneth	Con	64	50	.19	59
Clarke, Thomas	Lab	5	30	.75	13
Clay, Robert	Lab	0	30	.94	9
Clelland, David	Lab	14	50	.63	25
Clwyd, Mrs Ann	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Cohen, Harry	Lab	5	20	.81	9
Coleman, Donald	Lab	23	60	.44	34
Colvin, Michael	Con	59	40	.44	53
Conway, Derek	Con	82	50	.44	72
Cook, Francis	Lab	9	50	.69	22
Cook, Robin	Lab	9	40	.75	19
Coombs, Anthony	Con	91	60	.63	81
Coombs, Simon	Con	77	60	.69	72
Cope, John	Con	64	50	.19	59
Corbett, Robin	Lab	9	40	.63	19
Corbyn, Jeremy	Lab	18	40	.63	25
Cormack, Patrick	Con	41	40	.19	41
Couchman, James	Con	64	50	.31	59
Cousins, James	Lab	14	40	.56	22
Cox, Thomas	Lab	23	40	.44	28
Cran, James	Con	68	40	.44	59
Critchley, Julian	Con	45	50	.06	47
Crowther, Stanley	Lab	14	40	.69	22
Cryer, Robert	Lab	0	30	.94	9
Cummings, John	Lab	9	40	.75	19
Cunliffe, Lawrence	Lab	5	30	.75	13
Cunningham, Dr John	Lab	27	50	.31	34
Currie, Mrs Edwina	Con	64	50	.19	59
Curry, David	Con	82	40	.50	69
Dalyell, Tam	Lab	23	20	.56	22
Darling, Alastair	Lab	9	20	.75	13
Davies, Denzil	Lab	32	50	.25	38
Davies, Quentin	Con	86	70	.63	81
Davies, Ronald	Lab	14	30	.75	19
Davis, David	Con	91	50	.56	78

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
=====					
Davis, Terry	Lab	14	60	.56	28
Day, Stephen	Con	59	40	.81	53
Devlin, Timothy	Con	77	30	.50	63
Dewar, Donald	Lab	18	40	.50	25
Dickens, Geoffrey	Con	77	50	.38	69
Dicks, Terence	Con	77	40	.44	66
Dixon, Donald	Lab	5	40	.81	16
Dobson, Frank	Lab	14	20	.69	16
Doran, Frank	Lab	5	30	.88	13
Dorrell, Stephen	Con	64	50	.19	59
Douglas, Richard	Lab	32	50	.38	38
Douglas-Hamilton, Lord James	Con	64	50	.19	59
Dover, Den	Con	68	50	.63	63
Duffy, Patrick	Lab	18	40	.63	25
Dunn, Robert	Con	68	50	.25	63
Dunnachie, Jimmy	Lab	5	40	.81	16
Dunwoody, Mrs Gwyneth	Lab	32	30	.50	31
Durant, Tony	Con	64	40	.25	56
Dykes, Hugh	Con	55	50	.19	53
Eadie, Alexander	Lab	27	40	.50	31
Eastham, Kenneth	Lab	5	30	.88	13
Eggar, Timothy	Con	64	50	.19	59
Emery, Sir Peter	Con	64	60	.25	63
Evans, David	Con	86	40	.56	72
Evans, John	Lab	14	60	.56	28
Evennett, David	Con	50	40	.31	47
Ewing, Harry	Lab	9	60	.63	25
Ewing, Mrs Margaret	SNP	14	20	.81	16
Fairbairn, Sir Nicholas	Con	68	50	.25	63
Fallon, Michael	Con	73	50	.31	66
Farr, Sir John	Con	59	70	.25	63
Fatchett, Derek	Lab	14	30	.75	19
Faulds, Andrew	Lab	18	50	.44	28
Favell, Anthony	Con	59	40	.31	53
Fearn, Ronald	Dem	18	60	.88	31
Fenner, Dame Peggy	Con	73	40	.38	63
Field, Barry	Con	82	50	.56	72
Field, Frank	Lab	27	10	.69	22
Fields, Terence	Lab	5	40	.81	16
Finsberg, Sir Geoffrey	Con	68	40	.31	59
Fisher, Mark	Lab	9	40	.75	19
Flannery, Martin	Lab	5	40	.81	16
Flynn, Paul	Lab	9	40	.75	19
Fookes, Miss Janet	Con	82	50	.56	72
Foot, Michael	Lab	18	30	.69	22
Forman, Nigel	Con	64	50	.19	59
Forsyth, Michael	Con	64	50	.19	59
Forsyth, Clifford	OUP	27	30	.44	28
Forth, Eric	Con	86	80	.94	84



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
=====					
Foster, Derek	Lab	14	20	.69	16
Foulkes, George	Lab	18	60	.50	31
Fowler, Norman	Con	64	50	.19	59
Fox, Sir Marcus	Con	82	60	.63	75
Franks, Cecil	Con	77	40	.44	66
Fraser, John	Lab	23	40	.44	28
Freeman, Roger	Con	64	50	.19	59
French, Douglas	Con	77	60	.69	72
Fry, Peter	Con	50	40	.31	47
Fyfe, Mrs Maria	Lab	0	30	.94	9
Galbraith, Samuel	Lab	9	40	.75	19
Gale, Roger	Con	82	40	.50	69
Galloway, George	Lab	9	50	.69	22
Gardiner, George	Con	82	40	.50	69
Garel-Jones, Tristan	Con	64	40	.25	56
Garrett, Edward	Lab	9	50	.56	22
Garrett, John	Lab	0	30	.94	9
George, Bruce	Lab	27	60	.38	38
Gilbert, Dr John	Lab	27	50	.31	34
Gill, Christopher	Con	91	40	.63	75
Gilmour, Sir Ian	Con	41	50	.13	44
Glyn, Dr Alan	Con	77	50	.50	69
Godman, Dr Norman	Lab	14	40	.69	22
Golding, Mrs Llin	Lab	5	40	.81	16
Goodhart, Sir Philip	Con	50	50	.25	50
Goodlad, Alastair	Con	64	50	.19	59
Goodson-Wickes, Dr Charles	Con	82	60	.63	75
Gordon, Mrs Mildred	Lab	18	50	.56	28
Gorman, Mrs Teresa	Con	86	60	.56	78
Gorst, John	Con	64	50	.31	59
Gould, Bryan	Lab	18	40	.63	25
Gow, Ian	Con	91	70	.69	84
Gower, Sir Raymond	Con	73	60	.38	69
Graham, Thomas	Lab	18	50	.56	28
Grant, Bernie	Lab	9	30	.69	16
Grant, Sir Anthony	Con	77	60	.44	72
Greenway, Harry	Con	73	40	.50	63
Greenway, John	Con	64	40	.25	56
Gregory, Conal	Con	50	60	.44	53
Griffiths, Nigel	Lab	18	30	.69	22
Griffiths, Peter	Con	73	40	.38	63
Griffiths, Sir Eldon	Con	68	50	.25	63
Griffiths, Winston	Lab	9	40	.63	19
Grist, Ian	Con	64	50	.19	59
Grocott, Bruce	Lab	5	30	.88	13
Ground, Patrick	Con	68	50	.25	63
Grylls, Michael	Con	68	60	.44	66
Gummer, John Selwyn	Con	64	50	.19	59
Hamilton, Archibald	Con	64	50	.19	59
Hamilton, Neil	Con	82	50	.44	72



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
=====					
Hampson, Dr Keith	Con	45	30	.31	41
Hanley, Jeremy	Con	64	50	.19	59
Hannam, John	Con	50	50	.13	50
Hardy, Peter	Lab	18	30	.56	22
Hargreaves, Andrew	Con	64	40	.38	56
Hargreaves, Kenneth	Con	73	30	.56	59
Harman, Ms Harriet	Lab	9	50	.56	22
Harris, David	Con	68	50	.25	63
Haslehurst, Alan	Con	45	50	.44	47
Hattersley, Roy	Lab	18	50	.56	28
Hawkins, Christopher	Con	45	50	.19	47
Hayes, Jeremy	Con	64	50	.56	59
Hayhoe, Sir Barney	Con	36	50	.19	41
Haynes, Frank	Lab	0	20	1.00	6
Hayward, Robert	Con	68	50	.25	63
Healey, Denis	Lab	36	50	.19	41
Heath, Edward	Con	45	50	.06	47
Heathcoat-Amory, David	Con	64	60	.25	63
Heddle, John	Con	68	50	.25	63
Heffer, Eric	Lab	0	30	.94	9
Henderson, Douglas	Lab	14	20	.81	16
Heseltine, Michael	Con	41	50	.25	44
Hicks, Mrs Maureen	Con	82	50	.56	72
Hicks, Robert	Con	36	50	.19	41
Higgins, Terence	Con	64	50	.19	59
Hill, James	Con	68	40	.31	59
Hinchliffe, David	Lab	0	40	.88	13
Hind, Kenneth	Con	68	50	.25	63
Hogg, Douglas	Con	64	50	.19	59
Hogg, Norman	Lab	14	30	.75	19
Holland, Stuart	Lab	23	50	.38	31
Holt, Richard	Con	68	50	.50	63
Home Robertson, John	Lab	5	40	.69	16
Hood, Jimmy	Lab	14	40	.69	22
Hordern, Sir Peter	Con	73	50	.31	66
Howard, Michael	Con	64	50	.19	59
Howarth, Alan	Con	64	50	.19	59
Howarth, George	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Howarth, Gerald	Con	73	50	.31	66
Howe, Sir Geoffrey	Con	64	50	.19	59
Howell, David	Con	64	50	.19	59
Howell, Denis	Lab	32	50	.38	38
Howell, Ralph	Con	68	50	.38	63
Howells, Geraint	Dem	18	30	.69	22
Hoyle, Douglas	Lab	18	50	.56	28
Hughes, John	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Hughes, Robert	Lab	5	30	.75	13
Hughes, Robert G	Con	77	30	.63	63
Hughes, Roy	Lab	9	60	.63	25
Hughes, Sean	Lab	9	50	.69	22



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
=====					
Hughes, Simon	Dem	14	30	.75	19
Hume, John	SDLP	23	50	.38	31
Hunt, David	Con	68	50	.25	63
Hunt, John	Con	45	50	.06	47
Hunter, Andrew	Con	86	40	.56	72
Hurd, Douglas	Con	64	50	.19	59
Illsley, Eric	Lab	14	50	.63	25
Ingram, Adam	Lab	14	50	.50	25
Irvine, Michael	Con	73	40	.50	63
Irving, Charles	Con	68	50	.25	63
Jack, Michael	Con	55	50	.31	53
Jackson, Robert	Con	64	50	.19	59
Janman, Timothy	Con	95	60	.81	84
Janner, Greville	Lab	18	40	.50	25
Jessel, Toby	Con	68	60	.56	66
John, Brynmor	Lab	27	50	.31	34
Johnson Smith, Sir Geoffrey	Con	55	50	.31	53
Johnston, Sir Russell	Dem	32	60	.31	41
Jones, Barry	Lab	9	40	.75	19
Jones, Gwilyn	Con	73	60	.38	69
Jones, Ieuan Wyn	PlC	14	30	.63	19
Jones, Martyn	Lab	9	40	.75	19
Jones, Robert	Con	95	60	.69	84
Jopling, Michael	Con	68	70	.38	69
Kaufman, Gerald	Lab	18	30	.56	22
Kellett-Bowman, Dame Elaine	Con	82	50	.69	72
Kennedy, Charles,	Dem	36	30	.44	34
Key, Robert	Con	64	50	.19	59
Kilfedder, James	UPUP	45	30	.94	41
King, Roger	Con	73	50	.31	66
King, Tom	Con	64	50	.19	59
Kinnock, Neil	Lab	23	30	.50	25
Kirkhope, Timothy	Con	77	60	.56	72
Kirkwood, Archy	Dem	23	50	.63	31
Knapman, Roger	Con	73	30	.56	59
Knight, Dame Jill	Con	64	50	.44	59
Knight, Gregory	Con	68	50	.25	63
Knowles, Michael	Con	68	40	.31	59
Knox, David	Con	36	40	.38	38
Lambie, David	Lab	9	50	.69	22
Lamond, James	Lab	9	40	.75	19
Lamont, Norman	Con	64	50	.19	59
Lang, Ian	Con	64	50	.19	59
Latham, Michael	Con	68	40	.44	59
Lawrence, Ivan	Con	82	70	.56	78
Lawson, Nigel	Con	64	50	.19	59
Leadbitter, Edward	Lab	23	60	.44	34
Lee, John	Con	64	50	.19	59
Leigh, Edward	Con	77	50	.38	69
Leighton, Ronald	Lab	14	30	.75	19

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
Lennox-Boyd, Mark	Con	64	50	.19	59
Lester, James	Con	41	50	.13	44
Lestor, Miss Joan	Lab	23	50	.50	31
Lewis, Terence	Lab	5	40	.81	16
Lightbown, David	Con	64	40	.25	56
Lilley, Peter	Con	64	50	.19	59
Litherland, Robert	Lab	5	40	.81	16
Livingstone, Ken	Lab	18	30	.56	22
Livsey, Richard	Dem	18	50	.56	28
Lloyd, Anthony	Lab	9	20	.75	13
Lloyd, Peter	Con	64	40	.25	56
Lloyd, Sir Ian	Con	91	40	.63	75
Lofthouse, Geoffrey	Lab	9	50	.69	22
Lord, Michael	Con	73	50	.31	66
Loyden, Edward	Lab	9	30	.69	16
Luce, Richard	Con	64	50	.19	59
Lyell, Sir Nicholas	Con	64	50	.19	59
Macdonald, Calum	Lab	27	40	.38	31
MacGregor, John	Con	64	50	.19	59
Macfarlane, Sir Neil	Con	77	40	.44	66
MacKay, Andrew	Con	73	50	.44	66
Maclean, David	Con	64	50	.19	59
MacLennan, Robert	Dem	32	50	.25	38
Madden, Max	Lab	5	20	.94	9
Madel, David	Con	45	50	.19	47
Maginnis, Kenneth	OUP	36	50	.19	41
Mahon, Ms Alice	Lab	0	20	.88	6
Major, John	Con	64	50	.19	59
Malins, Humfrey	Con	64	50	.19	59
Mallon, Seamus	SDLP	27	50	.31	34
Mans, Keith	Con	95	50	.63	81
Maples, John	Con	64	50	.19	59
Marek, Dr John	Lab	9	20	.75	13
Marland, Paul	Con	82	40	.50	69
Marlow, Antony	Con	73	30	.56	59
Marshall, David	Lab	18	60	.50	31
Marshall, James	Lab	5	50	.75	19
Marshall, John	Con	82	40	.50	69
Marshall, Michael	Con	68	40	.31	59
Martin, David	Con	86	40	.56	72
Martin, Michael	Lab	18	40	.63	25
Martlew, Eric	Lab	14	40	.69	22
Mates, Michael	Con	45	40	.25	44
Maude, Francis	Con	64	50	.19	59
Mawhinney, Dr Brian	Con	64	50	.19	59
Maxton, John	Lab	9	50	.69	22
Maxwell-Hyslop, Robin	Con	41	40	.31	41
Mayhew, Sir Patrick	Con	64	50	.19	59
McAllion, John	Lab	0	30	.94	9
McAvoy, Thomas	Lab	0	40	.75	13



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
McCartney, Ian	Lab	14	40	.69	22
McCrea, Rev William	DUP	41	50	.13	44
McCrindle, Robert	Con	68	40	.44	59
McCusker, Harold	OUP	41	40	.19	41
McFall, John	Lab	18	40	.63	25
McGrady, Edward	SDLP	32	50	.25	38
McKay, Allen	Lab	5	30	.75	13
McKelvey, William	Lab	14	30	.75	19
McLeish, Henry	Lab	14	50	.63	25
McLoughlin, Patrick	Con	77	50	.38	69
McNair-Wilson, Sir Michael	Con	82	40	.50	69
McNair-Wilson, Patrick	Con	68	50	.25	63
McNamara, Kevin	Lab	18	40	.50	25
McTaggart, Robert	Lab	23	50	.50	31
McWilliam, John	Lab	23	50	.50	31
Meacher, Michael	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Meale, Alan	Lab	14	50	.63	25
Mellor, David	Con	64	50	.19	59
Meyer, Sir Anthony	Con	50	40	.56	47
Michael, Alun	Lab	5	30	.88	13
Michie, Mrs Ray	Dem	18	30	.81	22
Michie, William	Lab	0	20	1.00	6
Millan, Bruce	Lab	23	30	.50	25
Miller, Sir Hilary	Con	73	60	.38	69
Mills, Iain	Con	68	40	.31	59
Miscampbell, Norman	Con	59	50	.13	56
Mitchell, Andrew	Con	86	50	.50	75
Mitchell, Austin	Lab	27	40	.38	31
Mitchell, Sir David	Con	64	50	.19	59
Moate, Roger	Con	68	60	.44	66
Molyneaux, James	OUP	23	70	.50	38
Monro, Sir Hector	Con	73	60	.38	69
Montgomery, Sir Fergus	Con	86	40	.56	72
Moonie, Dr Lewis	Lab	5	30	.88	13
Moore, John	Con	64	50	.19	59
Morgan, Rhodri	Lab	5	30	.75	13
Morley, Elliot	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Morris, Alfred	Lab	23	50	.50	31
Morris, John	Lab	27	60	.38	38
Morris, Michael	Con	68	50	.38	63
Morrison, Sir Charles	Con	36	40	.38	38
Morrison, Peter	Con	64	50	.19	59
Moss, Malcolm	Con	82	50	.56	72
Mowlam, Dr Marjorie	Lab	14	30	.63	19
Moynihah, Colin	Con	64	50	.19	59
Mudd, David	Con	59	40	.44	53
Mullin, Christopher	Lab	5	30	.88	13
Murphy, Paul	Lab	14	50	.50	25
Neale, Gerrard	Con	73	50	.31	66
Needham, Richard	Con	64	50	.19	59



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
=====					
Nellist, David	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Nelson, Anthony	Con	82	60	.50	75
Neubert, Michael	Con	64	50	.19	59
Newton, Tony	Con	64	40	.25	56
Nicholls, Patrick	Con	64	50	.19	59
Nicholson, David	Con	68	60	.56	66
Nicholson, Miss Emma	Con	64	50	.56	59
O'Brien, William	Lab	9	40	.75	19
O'Neill, Martin	Lab	5	40	.81	16
Oakes, Gordon	Lab	27	40	.50	31
Onslow, Cranley	Con	77	50	.38	69
Oppenheim, Philip	Con	77	60	.44	72
Orme, Stanley	Lab	18	20	.63	19
Owen, Dr David	SDP	41	50	.13	44
Page, Richard	Con	68	40	.31	59
Paice, James	Con	86	50	.63	75
Paisley, Rev Ian	DUP	45	40	.13	44
Parkinson, Cecil	Con	64	50	.19	59
Parry, Robert	Lab	14	50	.63	25
Patchett, Terry	Lab	0	30	.94	9
Patnick, Cyril	Con	82	40	.50	69
Patten, Christopher	Con	64	50	.19	59
Patten, John	Con	64	50	.19	59
Pattie, Sir Geoffrey	Con	59	50	.38	56
Pawsey, James	Con	77	40	.44	66
Peacock, Mrs Elizabeth	Con	32	50	.25	38
Pendry, Tom	Lab	23	20	.56	22
Pike, Peter	Lab	0	20	1.00	6
Porter, Barry	Con	64	50	.19	59
Porter, David	Con	82	50	.56	72
Portillo, Michael	Con	64	50	.19	59
Powell, Raymond	Lab	5	40	.81	16
Powell, William	Con	64	50	.19	59
Prescott, John	Lab	23	40	.44	28
Price, Sir David	Con	64	40	.38	56
Primarolo, Ms Dawn	Lab	0	50	.81	16
Quin, Ms Joyce	Lab	14	40	.56	22
Radice, Giles	Lab	18	50	.56	28
Raffan, Keith	Con	64	50	.19	59
Raison, Timothy	Con	64	50	.31	59
Randall, Stuart	Lab	18	60	.50	31
Rathbone, John R (Tim)	Con	55	50	.31	53
Redmond, Martin	Lab	9	40	.75	19
Redwood, John	Con	100	70	.81	91
Rees, Merlyn	Lab	23	50	.38	31
Reid, Dr John	Lab	14	40	.69	22
Renton, Timothy	Con	64	50	.19	59
Rhodes James, Robert	Con	68	30	.38	56
Rhys Williams, Sir Brandon	Con	55	50	.19	53
Richardson, Ms Jo	Lab	9	30	.81	16



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
Riddick, Graham	Con	77	70	.63	75
Ridley, Nicholas	Con	64	50	.19	59
Ridsdale, Sir Julian	Con	73	40	.38	63
Rifkind, Malcolm	Con	64	50	.19	59
Roberts, Allan	Lab	18	50	.56	28
Roberts, Wyn	Con	64	50	.19	59
Robertson, George	Lab	9	40	.63	19
Robinson, Geoffrey	Lab	14	50	.50	25
Robinson, Peter	DUP	32	50	.25	38
Roe, Mrs Marion	Con	68	50	.25	63
Rogers, Allan	Lab	9	40	.63	19
Rooker, Jeffrey	Lab	14	40	.56	22
Ross, Ernest	Lab	0	30	.81	9
Ross, William	OUP	36	50	.19	41
Rossi, Sir Hugh	Con	68	40	.31	59
Rost, Peter	Con	86	40	.69	72
Rowe, Andrew	Con	59	50	.25	56
Rowlands, Edward	Lab	18	40	.50	25
Ruddock, Mrs Joan	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Rumbold, Mrs Angela	Con	64	50	.19	59
Ryder, Richard	Con	64	50	.19	59
Sackville, Thomas	Con	68	40	.31	59
Sainsbury, Timothy	Con	64	50	.19	59
Salmond, Alexander	SNP	23	50	.50	31
Sayeed, Jonathan	Con	68	40	.31	59
Scott, Nicholas	Con	64	50	.19	59
Sedgemore, Brian	Lab	5	30	.88	13
Shaw, David	Con	91	60	.75	81
Shaw, Sir Giles	Con	73	40	.38	63
Shaw, Sir Michael	Con	68	70	.38	69
Sheerman, Barry	Lab	14	30	.63	19
Sheldon, Robert	Lab	0	30	.94	9
Shelton, William	Con	64	50	.19	59
Shephard, Mrs Gillian	Con	82	40	.50	69
Shepherd, Colin	Con	64	50	.19	59
Shepherd, Richard	Con	68	50	.25	63
Shersby, Michael	Con	82	40	.50	69
Shore, Peter	Lab	32	50	.25	38
Short, Ms Clare	Lab	14	20	.81	16
Sims, Roger	Con	73	50	.44	66
Skeet, Sir Trevor	Con	82	40	.50	69
Skinner, Dennis	Lab	0	20	1.00	6
Smith, Andrew	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Smith, Christopher	Lab	14	20	.81	16
Smith, Sir Cyril	Dem	36	50	.19	41
Smith, John	Lab	23	40	.56	28
Smith, Sir Dudley	Con	68	50	.25	63
Smith, Timothy	Con	86	40	.56	72
Smyth, Rev Martin	OUP	36	50	.31	41
Snape, Peter	Lab	23	40	.44	28

NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
Soames, Nicholas	Con	59	50	.25	56
Soley, Clive	Lab	5	60	.69	22
Spearing, Nigel	Lab	0	40	.88	13
Speed, Keith	Con	64	50	.19	59
Speller, Tony	Con	59	50	.13	56
Spicer, Sir James	Con	73	50	.44	66
Spicer, Michael	Con	64	50	.19	59
Squire, Robin	Con	50	40	.31	47
Stanbrook, Ivor	Con	86	40	.56	72
Stanley, John	Con	64	50	.19	59
Steel, David	Dem	27	40	.50	31
Steen, Anthony	Con	59	40	.19	53
Steinberg, Gerald	Lab	5	50	.75	19
Stern, Michael	Con	68	40	.31	59
Stevens, Lewis	Con	68	50	.25	63
Stewart, Allan	Con	82	60	.63	75
Stewart, Andrew	Con	64	50	.19	59
Stewart, Ian	Con	64	50	.19	59
Stokes, Sir John	Con	68	50	.25	63
Stott, Roger	Lab	14	60	.56	28
Stradling Thomas, Sir John	Con	59	50	.13	56
Strang, Gavin	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Straw, Jack	Lab	14	50	.63	25
Sumberg, David	Con	64	50	.19	59
Summerson, Hugo	Con	77	60	.44	72
Tapsell, Sir Peter	Con	59	40	.31	53
Taylor, Mrs Ann	Lab	9	40	.75	19
Taylor, Edward	Con	73	50	.31	66
Taylor, Ian	Con	77	70	.50	75
Taylor, John David	OUP	45	40	.13	44
Taylor, John Mark	Con	73	50	.31	66
Taylor, Matthew	Dem	23	40	.81	28
Tebbit, Norman	Con	68	60	.31	66
Temple-Morris, Peter	Con	55	50	.19	53
Thomas, Dafydd	PLC	14	40	.56	22
Thompson, Donald	Con	64	50	.19	59
Thompson, John	Lab	18	50	.44	28
Thompson, Patrick	Con	68	50	.25	63
Thorne, Neil	Con	86	40	.56	72
Thornton, Malcolm	Con	68	50	.38	63
Thurnham, Peter	Con	64	50	.19	59
Townend, John	Con	77	50	.50	69
Townsend, Cyril	Con	59	60	.44	59
Tracey, Richard	Con	77	50	.38	69
Tredinnick, David	Con	64	50	.31	59
Trippier, David	Con	64	50	.19	59
Trotter, Neville	Con	64	50	.19	59
Turner, Dennis	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Twinn, Dr Ian	Con	64	50	.19	59



NAME	PARTY	ECONOMIC	NON -ECONOMIC	RQ	ASI INDEX (%)
Vaughan, Sir Gerard	Con	59	50	.38	56
Vaz, Keith	Lab	14	20	.69	16
Viggers, Peter	Con	64	50	.19	59
Waddington, David	Con	64	40	.25	56
Wakeham, John	Con	64	50	.19	59
Waldegrave, William	Con	64	50	.19	59
Walden, George	Con	73	50	.31	66
Walker, Cecil	OUP	41	40	.31	41
Walker, Peter	Con	64	50	.19	59
Walker, William	Con	73	50	.56	66
Wall, Patrick	Lab	5	20	.94	9
Wallace, James	Dem	18	40	.63	25
Waller, Gary	Con	55	60	.38	56
Walley, Ms Joan	Lab	5	40	.81	16
Walters, Dennis	Con	50	50	.00	50
Ward, John	Con	73	50	.31	66
Wardell, Gareth	Lab	27	60	.38	38
Wardle, Charles	Con	68	50	.25	63
Wareing, Robert	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Warren, Kenneth	Con	73	60	.50	69
Watts, John	Con	91	40	.63	75
Wells, Bowen	Con	55	50	.19	53
Welsh, Andrew	SNP	18	40	.63	25
Welsh, Michael	Lab	9	50	.69	22
Wheeler, John	Con	77	50	.50	69
Whitney, Raymond	Con	68	50	.38	63
Widdecombe, Miss Ann	Con	82	40	.75	69
Wiggin, Jerry	Con	73	60	.50	69
Wigley, Dafydd	PLC	9	40	.75	19
Wilkinson, John	Con	64	40	.38	56
Williams, Alan J	Lab	14	60	.56	28
Williams, Alan W	Lab	0	40	.88	13
Wilshire, David	Con	77	50	.38	69
Wilson, Brian	Lab	5	30	.88	13
Winnick, David	Lab	0	30	.94	9
Winterton, Mrs Ann	Con	50	40	.31	47
Winterton, Nicholas	Con	55	40	.38	50
Wise, Mrs Audrey	Lab	9	50	.69	22
Wolfson, Mark	Con	64	50	.19	59
Wood, Timothy	Con	68	50	.25	63
Woodcock, Michael	Con	59	60	.31	59
Worthington, Tony	Lab	9	30	.81	16
Wray, James	Lab	18	70	.56	34
Yeo, Timothy	Con	59	50	.38	56
Young, David	Lab	9	50	.69	22
Young, Sir George	Con	59	50	.38	56
Younger, George	Con	64	50	.19	59

## OTHER RECENT PUBLICATIONS

### **LICENCE TO LIVE** By Douglas Mason

£10

British business still struggles under a weight of licensing controls. This detailed study exposes the whole range of petty rules and restrictions. Ridiculous anomalies are common -- sausage makers need a licence in England and Wales but not in Scotland, for example. The report calls for the abolition of most controls.

### **TRACK TO THE FUTURE** By Kenneth Irvine

£10

Reviews the situation following the commitment given by the government to privatization. Irvine dissects the debate, and refines his own scheme of establishing a track authority with competing trains running on its rails. He provides a detailed policy formula for privatizing rail.

### **FAIR SHARES - FOR ALL THE WORKERS** By Ian Taylor MP

£10

Ian Taylor calls for a new initiative to spread share ownership. ESOPs -- Employee Share Ownership Plans -- give workers an interest in the profitability of their own company, and reduce dependency on the welfare state. He calls on the government to remove the tax disadvantages on ESOPs.

### **MAKING PRISON WORK** By Nicholas Elliott

£10

It costs £14,000 a year to keep an offender in a British prison. This report puts the case for involving private business in the employment of prison inmates. Prisoners should work, it says, to repay victims, to pay for their upkeep, and to build up savings.

### **THE ENTERPRISE IMPERATIVE** By Peter Young

£10

Britain has built up an expertise in the techniques of privatization. Peter Young argues that privatization would transform the economies of countries in the developing world, and he calls for Britain to provide the know-how. The report calls for foreign aid to be targeted to privatization schemes.



**PRIVATIZATION IN PRACTICE** Edited by Dr Eamonn Butler

£12

Based on the Institute's annual privatization conference of 1988, this report contains contributions from many experts in the field, including the British Chancellor, Nigel Lawson. It has been circulated among overseas governments as a guide to framing a successful policy.

**NEEDS REFORM**

£10

The authors of this report argue that the social security system remains ineffective and inefficient. The alternative proposed is an "internal market", similar to the health and education reforms. To replace dole offices the report suggests private welfare agencies, competing to distribute benefits efficiently.

**THE ART OF THE STATE**

£10

By Prof John Pick, Douglas Mason, Kingsley Amis, Clive Wright

A strident denunciation of government arts subsidies. "The unforgivable thing about the post-war Arts Council system", it says, "is that it has spawned an army of insensitive and opinionated bureaucrats who soak up far too much of the comparatively small amounts of money government gives to 'the arts'."

**PRIVATIZING THE POSTS** By Douglas Mason

£10

A detailed plan for privatizing Britain's last remaining nationalized industry. The privatization plan revealed would keep the Royal Mail, but as a regulatory body. It suggests that, initially, a licensed private firm would compete. Then the Post Office would be split into separate companies, to be privatized individually.

**SUNDAY, SUNDAY** By Terry Burke and J R Shackleton

£10

Drawing upon evidence from Scotland, Sweden and from the United States, the authors argue that permitting Sunday trading would not be as disruptive as critics claim. They urge the government to discount any compromise of partial liberalisation.

### **A CAPITAL OFFENCE**

£5

By Dr Barry Bracewell-Milnes & Bruce Sutherland

A critical look at capital taxes, calling on the Chancellor of the Exchequer to implement substantial cuts. "Taxes on capital are taxes on capitalism," say the authors. Reform options for capital gains tax, inheritance tax, and stamp duties are all analysed.

### **BRICKS IN THE WALL**

£10

By Daniel Moylan

Aimed at British policy towards 1992, this report provides a detailed agenda for free trade. Moylan warns against the development of regional protectionism, and argues that EC "anti-dumping" policies are against consumer interests.

### **WISER COUNSELS**

£12

Over the last ten years the functions of local government have changed considerably. This report -- the product of lengthy study by a team of specialist researchers -- says that it is now essential to update the structure of local government. It calls for single-tier councils run by paid professionals. Some of these new authorities, it suggests, would become "community companies" with the residents becoming shareholders and exercising genuine control under company law. It also suggests that many more services could be contracted out.

Book orders should be accompanied by a cheque, money order, or credit card number (mastercard or visa). Prices are inclusive of postage and packing for United Kingdom orders and for surface mail to Europe. Add 10% for airmail and non-European orders. Cheques should be made payable to ASI Research Limited. Send to PO Box 316, London, SW1P 3DJ.